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Objective: To formulate clinical practice guidelines for the assessment, treatment, and prevention of pediatric obesity.

Participants: The participants include an Endocrine Society–appointed Task Force of 6 experts, a methodologist, and a medical writer.

Evidence: This evidence-based guideline was developed using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation approach to describe the strength of recommendations and the quality of evidence. The Task Force commissioned 2 systematic reviews and used the best available evidence from other published systematic reviews and individual studies.

Consensus Process: One group meeting, several conference calls, and e-mail communications enabled consensus. Endocrine Society committees and members and co-sponsoring organizations reviewed and commented on preliminary drafts of this guideline.

Conclusion: Pediatric obesity remains an ongoing serious international health concern affecting ~17% of US children and adolescents, threatening their adult health and longevity. Pediatric obesity has its basis in genetic susceptibilities influenced by a permissive environment starting in utero and extending through childhood and adolescence. Endocrine etiologies for obesity are rare and usually are accompanied by attenuated growth patterns. Pediatric comorbidities are common and long-term health complications often result; screening for comorbidities of obesity should be applied in a hierarchal, logical manner for early identification before more serious complications result. Genetic screening for rare syndromes is indicated only in the presence of specific historical or physical features. The psychological toll of pediatric obesity on the individual and family necessitates screening for mental health issues and counseling as indicated. The prevention of pediatric obesity by promoting healthful diet, activity, and environment should be a primary goal, as achieving effective, long-lasting results with lifestyle modification once obesity occurs is difficult. Although some behavioral and pharmacotherapy studies report modest success, additional research into accessible and effective methods for preventing and treating pediatric obesity is needed. The use of weight loss medications during childhood and adolescence should be restricted to clinical trials. Increasing evidence
Summary of Recommendations

1.0 Diagnosing overweight and obesity

1.1 We recommend using body mass index (BMI) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) normative BMI percentiles to diagnose overweight or obesity in children and adolescents ≥2 years of age. (1⊕⊕⊕⊕)

1.2 We recommend diagnosing a child or adolescent ≥2 years of age as overweight if the BMI is ≥85th percentile but <95th percentile for age and sex, as obese if the BMI is ≥95th percentile, and as extremely obese if the BMI is ≥120% of the 95th percentile or ≥35 kg/m² (1⊕⊕⊕⊕). We suggest that clinicians take into account that variations in BMI correlate differently to comorbidities according to race/ethnicity and that increased muscle mass increases BMI. (2⊕⊤⊤⊤)

1.3 We suggest calculating, plotting, and reviewing a child’s or adolescent’s BMI percentile at least annually during well-child and/or sick-child visits. (Ungraded Good Practice Statement)

1.4 We suggest that a child <2 years of age be diagnosed as obese if the sex-specific weight for recumbent length is ≥97.7th percentile on the World Health Organization (WHO) charts, as US and international pediatric groups accept this method as valid. (2⊕⊙⊙⊙)

1.5 We recommend against routine laboratory evaluations for endocrine etiologies of pediatric obesity unless the patient’s stature and/or height velocity are attenuated (assessed in relationship to genetic/familial potential and pubertal stage). (1⊕⊕⊕⊕)

1.6 We recommend that children or adolescents with a BMI of ≥85th percentile be evaluated for potential comorbidities (see Table 2 and Fig. 1). (1⊕⊕⊕⊕)

1.7 We recommend against measuring insulin concentrations when evaluating children or adolescents for obesity. (1⊕⊕⊕⊕)

2.0 Genetic obesity syndromes

2.1 We suggest genetic testing in patients with extreme early onset obesity (before 5 years of age) and that have clinical features of genetic obesity syndromes (in particular extreme hyperphagia) and/or a family history of extreme obesity. (2⊕⊙⊕⊙)

3.0 Prevention of obesity

3.1 We suggest that clinicians promote and participate in the ongoing healthy dietary and activity education of children and adolescents, parents, and communities, and encourage schools to provide adequate education about healthy eating (1). (2⊕⊙⊕⊙)

3.2 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and support healthy eating habits such as:

- avoiding the consumption of calorie-dense, nutrient-poor foods (e.g., sugar-sweetened beverages, sports drinks, fruit drinks, most “fast foods” or those with added table sugar, high-fructose corn syrup, high-fat or high-sodium processed foods, and calorie-dense snacks)
- encouraging the consumption of whole fruits rather than fruit juices. (1⊕⊕⊕⊕)

3.3 We recommend that children and adolescents engage in at least 20 minutes, optimally 60 minutes, of vigorous physical activity at least 5 days per week to improve metabolic health and reduce the likelihood of developing obesity. (1⊕⊕⊕⊕)
3.4 We suggest fostering healthy sleep patterns in children and adolescents to decrease the likelihood of developing obesity due to changes in caloric intake and metabolism related to disordered sleep. (2+)

3.5 We recommend balancing unavoidable technology-related screen time in children and adolescents with increased opportunities for physical activity. (1+)

3.6 We suggest that a clinician’s obesity prevention efforts enlist the entire family rather than only the individual patient. (2+)

3.7 We suggest that clinicians assess family function and make appropriate referrals to address family stressors to decrease the development of obesity. (2+)

3.8 We suggest using school-based programs and community engagement in pediatric obesity prevention. (2+)

3.9 We recommend using comprehensive behavior-changing interventions to prevent obesity. Such programs would be integrated with school- or community-based programs to reach the widest audience. (1+)

3.10 We recommend breast-feeding in infants based on numerous health benefits. However, we can only suggest breast-feeding for the prevention of obesity, as evidence supporting the association between breast-feeding and subsequent obesity is inconsistent. (2+)

4.0 Treating obesity

Lifestyle: general considerations

4.1 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and support intensive, age-appropriate, culturally sensitive, family-centered lifestyle modifications (dietary, physical activity, behavioral) to promote a decrease in BMI. (1+)

4.2 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and support healthy eating habits in accordance with the following guidelines of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the US Department of Agriculture:
- decreased consumption of fast foods
- decreased consumption of added table sugar and elimination of sugar-sweetened beverages
- decreased consumption of high-fructose corn syrup and improved labeling of foods containing high-fructose corn syrup
- decreased consumption of high-fat, high-sodium, or processed foods
- consumption of whole fruit rather than fruit juices
- portion control education
- reduced saturated dietary fat intake for children and adolescents >2 years of age
- US Department of Agriculture recommended intake of dietary fiber, fruits, and vegetables
- timely, regular meals, and avoiding constant “grazing” during the day, especially after school and after supper
- recognizing eating cues in the child’s or adolescent’s environment, such as boredom, stress, loneliness, or screen time
- encouraging single portion packaging and improved food labeling for easier use by consumers. (Ungraded Good Practice Statement)

4.3 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and support the reduction of inactivity and also a minimum of 20 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity daily, with a goal of 60 minutes, all in the context of a calorie-controlled diet. (1+)

4.4 We suggest that clinicians encourage and support patients to limit nonacademic screen time to 1 to 2 hours per day and decrease other sedentary behaviors, such as digital activities. (2+)

4.5 We suggest that the health care team identify maladaptive rearing patterns related to diet and activity and educate families about healthy food and exercise habits. (2+)

4.6 We suggest that the health care team probe for and diagnose unhealthy intrafamily communication patterns and support rearing patterns that seek to enhance the child’s or adolescent’s self-esteem. (2+)

4.7 We suggest that the health care team evaluate for psychosocial comorbidities and prescribe assessment and counseling when psychosocial problems are suspected. (2+)

4.8 We suggest pharmacotherapy for children or adolescents with obesity only after a formal program of intensive lifestyle modification has failed to limit weight gain or to ameliorate comorbidities (2+). We recommend against using obesity medications in children and adolescents <16 years of age who are overweight but not obese, except in the context of clinical trials. (1+)

4.9 We suggest that Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved pharmacotherapy for obesity be administered only with a concomitant lifestyle modification program of the highest intensity available and only by clinicians who are experienced in the use of anti-obesity agents and are
aware of the potential for adverse reactions. (2★★★★)

4.10 We suggest that clinicians should discontinue medication and reevaluate the patient if the patient does not have a >4% BMI/BMI z score reduction after taking antiobesity medication for 12 weeks at the medication’s full dosage. (2★★★★)

4.11 We suggest bariatric surgery only under the following conditions:
- the patient has attained Tanner 4 or 5 pubertal development and final or near-final adult height, the patient has a BMI of >40 kg/m² or has a BMI of >35 kg/m² and significant, extreme comorbidities
- extreme obesity and comorbidities persist despite compliance with a formal program of lifestyle modification, with or without pharmacotherapy
- psychological evaluation confirms the stability and competence of the family unit [psychological distress due to impaired quality of live (QOL) from obesity may be present, but the patient does not have an underlying untreated psychiatric illness]
- the patient demonstrates the ability to adhere to the principles of healthy dietary and activity habits
- there is access to an experienced surgeon in a pediatric bariatric surgery center of excellence that provides the necessary infrastructure for patient care, including a team capable of long-term follow-up of the metabolic and psychosocial needs of the patient and family. (2★★★★)

4.12 We suggest against bariatric surgery in preadolescent children, pregnant or breast-feeding adolescents (and those planning to become pregnant within 2 years of surgery), and in any patient who has not mastered the principles of healthy dietary and activity habits and/or has an unresolved substance abuse, eating disorder, or untreated psychiatric disorder. (2★★★★)

Method of Development of Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

The Clinical Guidelines Subcommittee of the Endocrine Society deemed prevention and treatment of pediatric obesity a priority area in need of practice guidelines and appointed a Task Force to formulate evidence-based recommendations. The Task Force followed the approach recommended by the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation group, an international group with expertise in the development and implementation of evidence-based guidelines (2). A detailed description of the grading scheme has been published elsewhere (3). The Task Force used the best available research evidence to develop the recommendations. The Task Force also used consistent language and graphical descriptions of both the strength of a recommendation and the quality of evidence. In terms of the strength of a recommendation, strong recommendations use the phrase “we recommend” and the number 1, and weak recommendations use the phrase “we suggest” and the number 2. Cross-filled circles indicate the quality of the evidence, such that ★★★★★ denotes very low quality evidence; ★★★★, low quality; ★★★, moderate quality; and ★★★★, high quality. The Task Force has confidence that persons who receive care according to the strong recommendations will derive, on average, more good than harm. Weak recommendations require more careful consideration of the person’s circumstances, values, and preferences to determine the best course of action. Linked to each recommendation is a description of the evidence and the values that the Task Force considered in making the recommendation; in some instances, there are remarks, a section in which the Task Force offers technical suggestions for testing conditions, dosing, and monitoring. These technical comments reflect the best available evidence applied to typical persons being treated. Often this evidence comes from the unsystematic observations of the Task Force and their values and preferences; therefore, one should consider these remarks as suggestions.

In this guideline, the Task Force made several statements to emphasize the importance of shared decision making, general preventive care measures, and basic principles of pediatric obesity prevention and treatment. They labeled these as “Ungraded Good Practice Statement.” Direct evidence for these statements was either unavailable or not systematically appraised, and thus considered out of the scope of this guideline. The intention of these statements is to draw attention and remind providers of these principles; one should not consider these statements as graded recommendations (4).
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**Commissioned Systematic Review**

The Task Force commissioned 2 systematic reviews to support this guideline [Treatments of Pediatric Obesity: An Umbrella Systematic Review (5); The Association of Weight Loss and Cardiometabolic Outcomes in Obese Children: Systematic Review and Meta-Regression (6)]. The first was an umbrella review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that had a duration >6 months and evaluated medication, surgery, lifestyle, or community-based interventions in overweight or obese children or adolescents. The purpose of this review was to estimate the effectiveness of these interventions and to rate the quality of supporting evidence. This review summarized data from 133 RCTs enrolling 30,445 patients and provided an evidence profile for each intervention. The second was a study-level meta-regression that identified changes in BMI associated with cardiometabolic changes (lipid panel, liver function tests, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), and fasting blood glucose) in pediatric overweight and obese subjects.

**The Problem With Obesity**

Pediatric obesity is a persistent, epidemic, international problem, and preventing pediatric obesity and its comorbidities is of paramount importance. Treating children or adolescents is difficult and requires changes in diet, activity, and environment. Intensive lifestyle interventions, contacting both patient and family at least monthly (and weekly if possible) for the first 3 months, and providing dietary and nutritional education, a physical activity prescription, and behavioral therapy are poorly reimbursed, which often impedes these services. Additionally, there is inadequate national and international recognition of the value of addressing global obesity prevention and treatment, and we must work with key policymakers to improve this. Elevated BMI among US children and adolescents 6 to 19 years of age is associated with 1.4 billion dollars of additional health care dollars for outpatient visits and other health care expenditures compared with children and adolescents with normal BMIs (7). The Brookings Institution predicted that if all 12.7 million US children and adolescents with obesity became obese adults, the individual average cost would be >$92,000, and the societal costs during their lifetimes might be >$1.1 trillion (8).

**1.0 Diagnosing overweight and obesity**

1.1 We recommend using BMI and the CDC normative BMI percentiles to diagnose overweight or obesity in children and adolescents ≥2 years of age. (1+××××)

1.2 We recommend diagnosing a child or adolescent >2 years of age as overweight if the BMI is ≥85th percentile but <95th percentile for age and sex, as obese if the BMI is ≥95th percentile, and as extremely obese if the BMI is ≥120% of the 95th percentile or ≥35 kg/m$^2$ (11+××××). We suggest that clinicians take into account that variations in BMI correlate differently to comorbidities according to race/ethnicity and that increased muscle mass increases BMI. (2××××)

1.3 We suggest calculating, plotting, and reviewing a child’s or adolescent’s BMI percentile at least annually during well-child and/or sick-child visits. (Ungraded Good Practice Statement)

1.4 We suggest that a child <2 years of age be diagnosed as obese if the sex-specific weight for recumbent length is ≥97.7th percentile on the WHO charts, as US and international pediatric groups accept this method as valid. (2××××)

**Definitions**

Children and adolescents ≥2 years of age are diagnosed as overweight if the BMI is ≥85th percentile but <95th percentile and obese if the BMI is ≥95th percentile for age and gender on the revised 2000 CDC charts. A child <2 years of age is obese if the weight for recumbent length is ≥97.7th percentile of WHO growth standards (9). Extreme obesity is defined as a BMI ≥120% of the 95th percentile or ≥35 kg/m$^2$ (10). A recent proposal suggests redefining this state as class 2 obesity, as it relates to the definition of class 2 obesity in adults; class 3 pediatric obesity is proposed (but not yet fully accepted) to be BMI ≥140% of the 95th percentile or ≥40 kg/m$^2$, as this is considered to represent an even higher risk group. Class 2 and class 3 obesity are increasing significantly in girls of all ages, most clearly between 6 and 11 years of age, and in boys between 12 and 19 years of age with a nonsignificant trend in boys <12 years of age (11).

**Evidence**

The CDC BMI charts (12) are the accepted standards for US children and adolescents ≥2 years of age and provide a means for determining changes in pediatric obesity prevalence. The US Preventive Services Task Force
found that the BMI of children and adolescents correlates reasonably well to percentile rankings of percent body fat measured by more direct methods (13). However, BMI cannot differentiate muscle from adipose tissue, and thus cannot differentiate between excess adipose tissue and increased lean muscle mass when classifying a child or adolescent as overweight or obese. Pediatric racial/ethnic differences in the percentage of fat at a specific BMI further complicate BMI measures; for example, non-Hispanic black children and adolescents have a lower percentage body fat than do comparable non-Hispanic whites or Mexican Americans at the same BMI, and they are less likely to have high adiposity (14). Additionally, Singapore Chinese adolescents have a higher percentage fat at the same BMI than do white comparison groups (15). Furthermore, in the 1999–2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey obese male Hispanic adolescents had a higher risk of hepatic steatosis than did girls and other ethnic groups, indicating the limits of BMI alone as a risk factor (16). A systematic review found differences in regional mass and body composition in adults between race/ethnic groups when BMI and height are held constant and further differences within the same gender and race/ethnic group by age (17). Therefore, although we do recommend using BMI in clinical practice, it is not an infallible indicator of overweight or obesity. Clinicians should consult endocrinologists when questions arise.

The prevalence of pediatric overweight and obesity in all racial and ethnic groups increased between the 1960s and 1970s until about 2000 when it leveled off in most groups (Table 1). As of 2014, the prevalence of obesity in subjects 2 to 19 years old is 17%. The reason 17% of the population is above the 95th percentile for age is that the CDC only uses weight data prior to 1980 (using NHANES II data) for ages >6 years (before the obesity epidemic developed) and uses height data up to the end of 1994 (the end of NHANES III data collection) for the stature charts. Some recent data suggest a decrease in the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children <5 years of age, but the durability of this potential decline remains unknown. This trend may be explained by the oversampling of Asian preschoolers in that particular dataset; these children had a lower overall BMI.

Different racial and ethnic populations demonstrate differences in the prevalence of obesity and overweight and in the trajectory of change during the last decades (Table 1). Thus, using these BMI definitions may underestimate risk to the health of pediatric Asian patients. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis including 53,521 patients between a mean age of 4 to 18 years demonstrated that using these BMI cutoffs led to a specificity of 0.93 but a sensitivity of only 0.73 when compared with reference standard methods for measuring body adiposity, such as dual energy X-ray absorptiometry, hydrostatic weighing, air-displacement plethysmography, isotope dilution, bioelectrical impedance analysis, and skin-fold thickness measurements. This suggests that most children and adolescents diagnosed as obese by BMI do indeed have excess fat, but that a normal BMI is compatible with excess body fat in ~25% of subjects (22). Clinical judgment must augment the definitions of obesity based on BMI alone to determine which children or adolescents are actually overweight.

The odds ratio of adult obesity increases for obese adolescents as they approach 18 years of age. The odds ratio of adult obesity rises progressively with the number of parents who are obese, but the greatest predictive effect of parent obesity is found in infancy regardless of the infant’s weight (23). Determining overweight or obesity in young children may also help identify which individuals are most likely to become overweight or obese in adulthood. There is an increase in BMI in the first year followed by a fall and then a second rise in BMI at about 6 years of age (termed the adiposity rebound); an early BMI rebound before 5 years of age carries a higher risk for adult obesity. Recent analysis suggests that BMI (or possibly just height) at 7 years of age may provide equally robust predictive ability (24). Longitudinal data from 7738 participants from the National Center for Educational Statistics, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998–1999 demonstrated the greatest incidence (new onset) of obesity and overweight between the first to third grades; furthermore, there was a fourfold higher risk for obesity at age 14 years in the subjects overweight in kindergarten. These data support a focus on prevention before 9 years of age (25). However, a longitudinal study of 4884 subjects from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, the Population Study of Income Dynamics, and the National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Surveys demonstrated that screening for obesity at 5 years of age would miss 50% of those who became obese by 18 years of age, whereas screening at 15 years of age would miss only 9%; the authors recommend using universal prevention methods instituted at a young age and continuing through childhood and adolescence, rather than focusing only on overweight young children (26). These contrasting study conclusions demonstrate a continued need for research into childhood prediction of obesity.

**Values and preferences**

The Task Force placed a high value on the ease of calculating BMI and familiarity with this measure among providers and patients over other limitations of using BMI. BMI currently is the most reasonable measure for evaluating overweight and obesity, guiding proper
management, and determining the need for specialist referral (when values rise toward the extreme). The utility of predicting adult obesity and comorbidities from childhood and adolescent BMI calculations may be somewhat limited, supporting a universal prevention approach to obesity that begins in early childhood.

1.5 We recommend against routine laboratory evaluations for endocrine etiologies of pediatric obesity unless the patient’s stature and/or height velocity are attenuated (assessed in relationship to genetic/familial potential and pubertal stage).

Evidence

Endocrine and syndromic disorders as a cause of overweight/obesity are rare in children and adolescents and are associated with additional symptoms (26). The distinguishing feature of endocrine causes of obesity, such as growth hormone (GH) deficiency, hypothyroidism, or Cushing syndrome, is that stature and height velocity are decreased, whereas a normal or increased growth rate generally excludes endocrine causes. However, Albright hereditary osteodystrophy/pseudohypparathyroidism, although associated with short stature in adolescence, may be associated with increased growth velocity in the first 2 to 3 years of life. Pediatric overweight/obesity is also associated with earlier breast development, pubarche, and menarche in girls, and advanced skeletal development in boys that will lead to increased growth rate (27–30). The evidence is stronger in girls than boys because a subgroup of boys with obesity exhibit delayed testicular development (31). Thus, clinicians should not test for endocrine causes of obesity unless the patient is short relative to genetic potential and has decreased growth velocity against the backdrop of continued weight gain (26, 32).

This rule is not inviolable, however, as acquired hypothalamic obesity is a syndrome of intractable weight gain caused by hypothalamic damage from a tumor or its treatment with surgery or radiotherapy (33). Such patients may have adequate growth velocity even when GH deficient but have tumor-related signs and symptoms or have already undergone tumor treatment.

Values and preferences

The Task Force placed a high value on limiting endocrine assessments for the etiology of pediatric overweight or obesity to those rare patients who are obese and short or with decreased height velocity and placed a low value on the unnecessary diagnostic endocrine laboratory screening of children and adolescents who are obese without other signs or symptoms or contributory neurosurgical history.

Remarks

Clinicians can determine a deceleration in height velocity (as needed to account for the stage of puberty) either by using a height velocity (34) curve normalized for age and/or

Table 1. Prevalence of Pediatric Overweight and Obesity in the United States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–23 mo</td>
<td>7.20%</td>
<td>11.60%</td>
<td>8.10%</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>26.50%</td>
<td>22.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–5 y</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.50%</td>
<td>13.90%</td>
<td>8.90%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>37.20%</td>
<td>34.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–11 y</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>15.30%</td>
<td>18.80%</td>
<td>17.50%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>34.30%</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–19 y</td>
<td>4.60%</td>
<td>15.50%</td>
<td>17.40%</td>
<td>20.50%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>33.60%</td>
<td>31.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–19 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–19 y by race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>38.70%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Years of study for all ethnicities are noted under the column headings “Obesity” and “Combined Overweight and Obesity.” [Derived from Ogden et al., 2015 (18), Hedley et al., 2004 (19), Ogden et al., 2014 (20), and Ogden et al., 2002 (21).]
stage of puberty or by observing that the patient is crossing height percentile curves downward on the standardized height attainment charts (12) for average-maturing, early-maturing, and late-maturing children (35). Clinicians should refer maturing children who are obese with short stature and decreased growth velocity despite continued weight gain to a pediatric endocrinologist, as these patients may have an endocrinopathy.

1.6 We recommend that children or adolescents with a BMI ≥ 85th percentile be evaluated for potential comorbidities (see Table 2 and Fig. 1). (1H8H)

1.7 We recommend against measuring insulin concentrations when evaluating children or adolescents for obesity. (1H8H)

Evidence

Pediatric overweight and obesity is associated with substantial comorbidities, including prediabetes/type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM); dyslipidemia; prehypertension/hypertension; sleep apnea; nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD); proteinuria and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; early subclinical atherosclerosis; hyperandrogenemia/polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS); slipped capital femoral epiphysis and pseudotumor cerebri (36–42); and cardiovascular disease (CVD) morbidity, and premature mortality in adulthood (43–47). The greater the severity of obesity, the higher the risks of cardiometabolic risk factors, particularly among boys (11). Importantly, the risks of CVD outcomes among children and adolescents who were obese and became nonobese by adulthood appear similar to those who were never obese (46). Thus, clinicians should carefully examine medical and family histories and laboratory assessments of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese to identify comorbidities early and initiate appropriate management.

Values and preferences

The Task Force placed a high value on identifying adiposity-related complications and screening for comorbidities because of their high prevalence and their association with morbidity and mortality. The Task Force also placed a high value on reducing unnecessary testing and evaluation, such as the routine measurements of fasting insulin, because of lack of scientific evidence for its usefulness in clinical practice by general providers.

Remarks

A thorough medical and family history is crucial for assessing obese youths, because obesity and associated comorbidities may be asymptomatic/subclinical but have familial tendencies. The family history should encompass obesity; bariatric surgery (typically not revealed by families unless specifically asked); T2DM; gestational diabetes; dyslipidemia; hypertension; NAFLD; cirrhosis; sleep apnea and use of continuous positive airway pressure; premature CVD events/deaths (such as heart attacks or strokes); and (in women) infertility, PCOS, or hyperandrogenism-associated signs and symptoms. Clinicians should assess the presence of polyuria/polydipsia, blurry vision, fungal vaginitis/discharge in girls, and unexplained weight loss, all of which could be indicative of hyperglycemia. Clinicians should also look for the presence of frequent unexplained headaches, which raise the possibility of hypertension or sleep apnea; habitual snoring, restless sleep, morning headaches, generalized tiredness, and/or excessive daytime sleepiness, as well as hyperactive inattentive behavior in young children as manifestations of sleep apnea (48); gastrointestinal discomfort as a manifestation of NAFLD (39); musculoskeletal symptoms (49); and (in pubertal girls) acne, hirsutism (including the recent use of hair removal techniques that would mask the degree of hirsutism at the time of the examination), and onset and pattern of menses to screen for the possibility of PCOS. Clinicians should obtain a careful history for psychiatric disorders, because children and adolescents who are overweight or obese are more likely to suffer from mental health disorders than their normal weight counterparts (50, 51). Furthermore, clinicians should obtain a history of second-generation antipsychotics use, such as clozapine, risperidone, olanzapine, and quetiapine, because of their association with weight gain (52, 53). Although the various techniques assessing dietary intake are unreliable and subject to error (9, 54), it is still important to estimate the type and quantity of beverage intake, the frequency of dining out and where, and the frequency and type of snacks (among other dietary issues). Clinicians should also obtain a history of sedentary behaviors, such as hours spent on screen activities, and physical activity (e.g., duration, frequency, in school and at home, sports participation, walking to school and stores).

Clinicians should evaluate the following:

- weight, height, and BMI calculation [Even though the International Diabetes Federation includes waist circumference (an indicator of insulin resistance measured at the level of the iliac crest ≥ 90th percentile) as a defining factor for metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents 10 to 16 years of age and as a finding of concern in children 6 to 10 years old (55, 56), given the intermeasurement variability of waist circumference measurements in a clinical setting performed by different support staff, this research tool does not add significantly to what we learn from BMI (57).]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidity</th>
<th>Tests and Interpretation</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prediabetes HbA1c</strong></td>
<td>5.7% to &lt;6.5% (39 to &lt;48 mmol/mol) (note the unpredictability of this test in pediatrics in the text)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>American Diabetes Association (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFG (verify fasting status)</td>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose of ≥100 but &lt;126 mg/dL (≥5.6 but &lt;7.0 mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGT (if OGTT is used)</td>
<td>Two-hour plasma glucose of ≥140 but &lt;200 mg/dL (≥7.8 but &lt;11.1 mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes mellitus</strong></td>
<td>HbA1c ≥ 6.5% (≥48 mmol/mol)&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>American Diabetes Association (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose of ≥126 mg/dL (≥7.0 mmol/L) (fasting is defined as no caloric intake for 8 h)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-hour plasma glucose of ≥200 mg/dL (≥11.1 mmol/L) during an OGTT&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In a patient with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia, a random plasma glucose of ≥200 mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dyslipidemia</strong></td>
<td>Fasting lipids</td>
<td>Expert Panel Summary Report (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL) (multiply by 0.0113 to convert to mmol/L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–9 y: &lt;75 (acceptable), 75–99 (borderline high), ≥100 (high); 10–19 y: &lt;90 (acceptable), 90–129 (borderline high), ≥130 (high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) (multiply by 0.0259 to convert to mmol/L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;110 (acceptable), 110–129 (borderline high), ≥130 (high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL) (multiply by 0.0259 to convert to mmol/L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;170 (acceptable), 170–199 (borderline high), ≥200 (high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) (multiply by 0.0259 to convert to mmol/L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 (low), 40–45 (borderline low), &gt;45 (acceptable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) (multiply by 0.0259 to convert to mmol/L) (can be nonfasting)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;120 (acceptable), 120–144 (borderline high), ≥145 (high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prehypertension and hypertension</strong></td>
<td>3–11 y: (standardized according to sex, age, and height percentile)</td>
<td>Expert Panel Summary Report (58); Mancia et al., 2013 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &gt; 90th percentile to &lt;95th percentile = prehypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 95th percentile to &lt;99th percentile + 5 mm Hg = stage 1 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 99th percentile + 5 mm Hg = stage 2 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–17 y: (standardized according to sex, age, and height percentile)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP of &gt;90th percentile to &lt;95th percentile or &gt;120/80 = prehypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 95th percentile to &lt;99th percentile + 5 mm Hg = stage 1 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 99th percentile + 5 mm Hg = stage 2 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 21 y:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 120/80 to 139/89 mm Hg = prehypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 140/90 to 159/99 mm Hg = stage 1 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP ≥ 160/100 to 179/109 mm Hg = stage 2 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &gt; 180/110 mm Hg = stage 3 HTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NAFLD</strong></td>
<td>ALT &gt; 25 U/L (boys) and &gt;22 U/L (girls)</td>
<td>Schwimmer et al., 2010 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCOS</strong></td>
<td>Free and total testosterone and SHBG, per Endocrine Society PCOS guidelines&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Legro et al., 2013 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obstructive sleep apnea</strong></td>
<td>If positive history, refer to pulmonary for nocturnal polysomnography and if not available overnight oximetry</td>
<td>Wise et al., 2011 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychiatric</strong></td>
<td>If positive history, refer to mental health specialist</td>
<td>Zametkin et al., 2004 (51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To convert mg/dL to mmol/L, multiply by 0.0555 for glucose, 0.0259 for cholesterol, and 0.0113 for triglycerides.

Abbreviations: BP, blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HTN, hypertension; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test (1.75 g/kg, maximum 75 g); PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome.

<sup>a</sup>The test should be performed in a laboratory using a method that is NGSP certified and standardized to the DCCT assay.

<sup>b</sup>In the absence of unequivocal hyperglycemia, should be confirmed by repeat testing.

<sup>c</sup>Given variability in testosterone levels and the poor standardization of assays, it is difficult to define an absolute level that is diagnostic of PCOS or other causes of hyperandrogenism (familiarity with local assays recommended) (63). The preferred assay is HPLC tandem mass spectroscopy (64). [Derived from (a) ADA, 2014 (60); (b) Expert Panel 2011 (58); (c) Schwimmer et al., 2010 (62); (d) Legro et al., 2013 (63); (e) Wise et al., 2011 (48); (f) Zametkin et al., 2004 (51)].
• blood pressure [using height/age/sex percentile normalized blood pressure tables to interpret the findings (58)]
• acanthosis nigricans and skin tags
• extreme acne and hirsutism in pubertal girls
• fundoscopic examination for pseudotumor cerebri
• tenderness and range of motion of the knee, leg, or foot
• peripheral edema, thyroid examination for goiter
• physical findings associated with syndromic obesity, particularly if there is a neurodevelopmental abnormality (see section 3).

We list suggested screening tests in Table 2.

In 2009 an International Expert Committee recommended using HbA1c to diagnose diabetes and prediabetes (65). It recommended classifying asymptomatic individuals as having diabetes if they had HbA1c ≥ 6.5% (≥48 mmol/mol) on 2 separate occasions and classifying asymptomatic individuals with prediabetes if they had HbA1c ≥ 6.0% (≥42 mmol/mol) (65), or HbA1c of 5.7% to <6.5% (39 to <48 mmol/mol) (66). Although they based these recommendations on studies in adults with no validation in pediatrics (65), the committee recommended that the same criteria be applied in adolescents. However, several studies have demonstrated poor performance of HbA1c in diagnosing prediabetes or diabetes in pediatrics, underestimating the prevalence of both (67–69). Another pitfall in using the HbA1c is the unresolved issue of racial/ethnic disparities in the correlation between HbA1c and ambient blood glucose (70). Given such drawbacks, HbA1c screening (alone) in overweight or obese children and adolescents is a poor diagnostic tool for prediabetes and T2DM. Additional definitive testing (fasting or random glucose or oral glucose tolerance test) may be necessary in high-risk youths based on medical history, familial risk, race/ethnicity, and/or the presence of additional risk factors for diabetes (71). In a cost-effectiveness analysis of various screening strategies for identifying pediatric diabetes and dysglycemia, the preferred strategy for dysglycemia was the 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test with 100% effectiveness (proportion of cases identified) and efficiency (cost per case identified) at $390 per case, and the least effective and efficient was HbA1c (ranges, 7% to 32% and $938 to $3370 per case) (72).

NAFLD is usually asymptomatic and thus requires screening for detection. Presently, no screening guidelines exist outside of recognizing those at risk by weight categorization (BMI ≥ 85% for age and sex) (39). Recently new normative standards were proposed for alanine aminotransferase (ALT) concentrations (≥25 U/L for boys and ≤22 U/L for girls) (62), because pediatric liver biopsy specimens from patients with normal or mildly elevated ALT (≥26 to 50 U/L for boys and ≥23 to 44 U/L for girls) had significant histologic abnormalities, including advanced fibrosis (73). Using highly sensitive research methods of magnetic resonance spectroscopy or magnetic resonance imaging, fatty liver is likely present in most pediatric obesity whether the liver enzymes are high or not. High ALT levels would suggest a more advanced stage of NAFLD, hepatitis, or fibrotic changes. Thus, even though ALT elevation underestimates liver injury in NAFLD, it is still an easily available screen for clinicians to use when assessing children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

Many clinicians measure insulin values thinking it adds to the diagnosis of comorbidities. In fact it does not, and such measurements are not recommended. Although obesity is associated with insulin resistance/hyperinsulinemia, attempts to diagnose insulin resistance by measuring plasma insulin concentration or any other surrogate (74) in the clinical setting has no merit because it has no diagnostic value. Fasting insulin concentrations show considerable overlap between insulin-resistant and insulin-sensitive youths (74). Therefore, there is no well-defined cut point differentiating normal from abnormal and no universally accepted, clinically useful, numeric expression that defines insulin resistance (75), unlike the case for glucose or lipids. A major requirement for any screening program is the availability of an accurate, reliable, reproducible, standardized, and easily applicable method of measurement. Adult studies have shown that measures of fasting insulin explain no more than 5% to 50% of the variability in insulin sensitivity in nondiabetic subjects (76). Different studies have proposed different cutoffs for so called “insulin resistance values” varying by 2.5-fold (76). In pediatrics, the transient puberty-related insulin resistance that occurs with the completion of puberty further complicates this (77, 78). Moreover, measuring insulin is hampered by the lack of standardized insulin assays, and poor reproducibility of even the same assay (79). Further limitations include race/ethnicity-related differences in insulin concentrations due to differences in the metabolic clearance rate of insulin (80) and the cross-reactivity between insulin and proinsulin. In youths with T2DM, despite severe deficiency in insulin secretion, fasting insulin concentrations are higher than in youths without diabetes (81). Importantly, fasting insulin concentrations are similar in youths who are obese with normal glucose tolerance vs impaired glucose tolerance (82), allowing for the possible danger of missing a diagnosis of impaired glucose tolerance if one uses fasting insulin concentrations as a screening tool. Because of these limitations, measuring plasma insulin concentrations remains a research tool with no clinical value for evaluation of obesity. Measuring fasting
insulin concentrations to try to diagnose insulin resistance within general practice should be abandoned.

2.0 Genetic obesity syndromes

2.1 We suggest genetic testing in patients with extreme early onset obesity (before 5 years of age) and that have clinical features of genetic obesity syndromes (in particular extreme hyperphagia) and/or a family history of extreme obesity. (2)

Evidence

In addition to the obvious environmental drivers, multiple common and rare genetic variants contribute to substantial heritability for BMI and waist circumference (83, 84). Approximately 7% of patients with extreme pediatric obesity may have rare chromosomal abnormalities and/or highly penetrant genetic mutations that drive their obesity (85). This percentage is likely to increase with newer methods for genetic testing.

Values and preferences

When assessing children and adolescents with extreme obesity, clinicians should consider potentially treatable causes and genetic conditions (Fig. 1). The diagnosis of a genetic obesity syndrome can provide information that helps the family and health care providers appropriately manage the child’s or adolescent’s health and possibly lessen the social stigma. Additionally, clinicians can provide genetic counseling. A genetic diagnosis can inform management, including the possibility of bariatric surgery (many such patients are relatively resistant to weight loss through changes in diet and exercise).

Remarks

It is currently useful to categorize genetic obesity syndromes as those with developmental delay and/or dysmorphism and those without these features, although the clinical spectrum can be quite variable (Table 3). Clinicians should obtain a careful family history to identify potential consanguineous relationships, a family history of severe obesity/bariatric surgery, the ethnic and geographical origin of the child or adolescent, and family members to guide the appropriate use of diagnostic tests (Fig. 1).

Obesity syndromes with developmental delay

Dominant disorders

Prader-Willi syndrome is a methylation disorder caused by the deletion of a critical segment on the paternally inherited chromosome 15q11.2-q12, loss of the entire paternal chromosome 15 with the presence of 2 maternal copies (uniparental maternal disomy), or an imprinting defect that can be sporadic or due to a mutation of the paternally derived imprinting control site of the 15q13 region (88). Plasma ghrelin levels are markedly elevated in children, adolescents, and adults with Prader-Willi syndrome, although the physiological relevance of this finding is unknown (89). GH treatments decrease body fat and increase linear growth, muscle mass, and energy expenditure (90).

Maternal transmission of heterozygous mutations in GNAS1 leads to classical Albright hereditary osteodystrophy and resistance to several hormones that activate heterotrimeric G proteins in their target tissues, whereas paternal transmission leads only to Albright hereditary osteodystrophy (91).

Chromosomal rearrangements and heterozygous mutations involving single-minded 1 brain-derived neurotrophic factor (92, 93), or its receptor, TrkB, lead to hyperphagia and developmental and behavioral abnormalities (94, 95). Clinicians should consider de novo mutations if both parents are of normal weight and intelligence quotient.

Recessive disorders

Homozygous mutations that disrupt 1/some of the 16 Bardet-Biedl syndrome genes lead to Bardet-Biedl syndrome (96). Other recessive disorders affecting proteins localized to the basal body of the monocilium, such as Alström syndrome and TUB gene mutations (97), are also associated with obesity.

Obesity syndromes without developmental delay

Rare copy number variants (deletions/duplications) that disrupt multiple genes can cause extreme pediatric obesity without learning difficulties (98). Mutations in specific genes, mostly involving the leptin–melanocortin pathway, cause extreme obesity characterized by hyperphagia (increased drive to eat) and impaired satiety (reduced sensation of fullness after a meal) (Table 3). Clinicians should take a careful history to identify food-seeking behavior, searching for/stealing food, waking at night to find food, and eating food others leave behind, which should prompt genetic investigation (neurologic causes should be excluded in patients with a new history of these behaviors). These behaviors typically occur as a result of the disruption of hypothalamic pathways involved in the regulation of energy balance. Pica syndrome is evident in only a small subset of children and adolescents with hyperphagia.

Dominant disorders

Heterozygous mutations in the melanocortin 4 receptor are found in 2% to 5% of subjects with extreme pediatric obesity, making this the most common genetic form of obesity (99, 100) (Table 3). Homozygous mutations in
Figure 1. Diagnosis and management flowchart. *Measure insulin and proinsulin in patients with clinical features of PCSK1 deficiency. [Adapted from August GP et al. (86) with permission, © Endocrine Society.] [Republished with permission of Springer Science and Bus Media BV from Farooqi S and O’Rahilly S (87); permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.]
melanocortin 4 receptor have also been identified in offspring from consanguineous families (101). Heterozygous missense mutations affecting proopiomelanocortin-derived peptides and rare variants in melanocortin 2 receptor accessory protein 2 may also contribute to extreme obesity by modulating melanocortin signaling (102, 103). In the near future, selective melanocortin receptor agonists may be feasible therapies for patients with mutations in the melanocortin pathway. Several studies have shown that adolescents and adults with heterozygous melanocortin 4 receptor mutations lose weight following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) surgery (104).

**Recessive disorders**

Homozygous mutations that reduce the production, secretion, or biological activity of leptin are associated with extreme hyperphagia, frequent infections, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism, and mild hypothyroidism; these features can be fully treated with subcutaneous injections of recombinant human leptin (105–107). Recombinant human leptin is currently available on a named patient basis through selected centers. Serum leptin is a useful test in patients with severe obesity, as undetectable serum leptin is highly suggestive of congenital leptin deficiency. Mutations that result in detectable but bioinactive leptin are rare (107). Serum leptin concentrations are usually appropriate for the degree of obesity in most patients with homozygous mutations in the leptin receptor gene that have comparable clinical features (108) (Table 3).

Children and adolescents who are homozygous or compound heterozygous for mutations in the proopiomelanocortin

---

### Table 3. Genetic Obesity Syndromes With and Without Developmental Delay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Obesity Syndrome</th>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obesity with developmental delay</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prader-Willi syndrome</td>
<td>Hypotonia, failure to thrive in infancy followed by weight gain, short stature (due to GH deficiency), hyperphagia, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism, sleep disturbance, obsessive behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albright hereditary osteodystrophy</td>
<td>Short stature in some but not all patients, skeletal defects, impaired olfaction, and hormone resistance (e.g., parathyroid hormone) if a mutation is maternally inherited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIM1 deficiency</td>
<td>Hyperphagia with autonomic dysfunction (characterized by low systolic blood pressure), speech and language delay, neurobehavioral abnormalities, including autistic type behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF/TrkB deficiency</td>
<td>Hyperactivity, impaired concentration, limited attention span, impaired short-term memory and pain sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recessive</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bardet-Biedl syndrome</td>
<td>Dysmorphic extremities (syndactyly/brachydactyly/polydactyly), retinal dystrophy or pigmentary retinopathy, hypogonadism, renal abnormalities/impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUB8 deficiency</td>
<td>Retinal dystrophy, deafness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Obesity without developmental delay** |
| Dominant                  |                   |
| Alström syndrome          | Retinal dystrophy; extreme insulin resistance; deafness; dilated cardiomyopathy; progressive pulmonary, hepatic, and renal dysfunction |
| MC4R deficiency           | Hyperphagia, accelerated linear growth, disproportionate hyperinsulinemia, low/normal blood pressure |
| SH2B1 deficiency          | Hyperphagia, disproportionate hyperinsulinemia, early speech and language delay that often resolves, behavioral problems including aggression |
| KSR2 deficiency           | Mild hyperphagia and reduced basal metabolic rate, insulin resistance often with acanthosis nigricans, irregular menses, early development of T2DM |
| **Recessive**             |                   |
| Leptin deficiency         | Extreme hyperphagia, frequent infections, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism, mild hypothyroidism |
| Leptin receptor deficiency | Extreme hyperphagia, frequent infections, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism, mild hypothyroidism |
| POMC deficiency           | Hyperphagia, cholestatic jaundice or adrenal crisis due to ACTH deficiency, pale skin, and red hair in whites |
| PCSK1 deficiency          | Small bowel enteropathy, hypoglycemia, hypothyroidism, ACTH deficiency, diabetes insipidus |

[Republished from Farooqi and O’Rahilly (87) with permission of Springer Science and Bus Media BV, permission conveyed through the Copyright Clearance Center.]

Abbreviations: ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; GH, growth hormone; POMC, proopiomelanocortin; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
gene require long-term corticosteroid replacement, as pro-
promelanocortin is a precursor of adrenocorticotropic hor-
mone in the pituitary gland (102). Compound heterozygous or
homozygous mutations in the PCSK1 gene, which encodes the
processing enzyme (prohormone convertase 1/3), may present
in infancy with persistent diarrhea requiring parenteral feeding.
An abnormally high level of plasma proinsulin (compared with
mature insulin) indicates this possible diagnosis (109).

3.0 Prevention of obesity
The prime objective in addressing the obesity epidemic
should be prevention to avoid the comorbidities of
obesity. Although beyond the scope of this statement,
which addresses postnatal prevention, preconception and
prenatal interventions are also of major importance, and
the Task Force supports the recommendations of the
WHO to address this area of prevention (110).

3.1 We suggest that clinicians promote and participate
in the ongoing healthy dietary and activity edu-
cation of children and adolescents, parents, and
communities, and encourage schools to provide
adequate education about healthy eating (1).

Evidence
The authors of the Endocrine Society’s previous
guideline on pediatric obesity commissioned a meta-
analysis (111) which summarized evidence from RCTs
that measured the impact of lifestyle interventions to
prevent pediatric obesity. The study found modest effects
of these interventions; there was decreased sedentary
behavior in long-term trials \( P = 0.05 \) with a significantly
greater effect when directed toward children in contrast
to adolescents \( P = 0.02 \), reduced unhealthy dietary
habits \( P = 0.02 \), but only a trend towards increased
physical activity \( P = 0.06–0.07 \). These beneficial effects
did not translate into important changes in BMI (111),
but the Task Force recognized that weight maintenance
in a growing child or adolescent is as effective as weight
loss in an adult. The present committee updated and
expanded upon these findings as listed below and in
Table 4.

Decreasing caloric intake by consuming more fruits
and vegetables and reducing dietary fat and refined
carbohydrate intake can decrease the risk of developing
obesity and T2DM (152). Many children and adolescents
eat fewer than 3 servings of fruits and vegetables a day
rather than meeting the US Department of Agriculture
dietary recommendation of 5 to 7 fruit and vegetable
servings per day. Inadequate consumption of dietary fiber
may contribute to excessive weight gain, highlighting the
need to continue to address vegetable and whole fruit
intake (153). Whole fruit intake increased and fruit juice

Children and adolescents in the public school system in
the United States consume up to 40% of their calories at
school, so attention to the composition of foods and
drinks available to them during the school day is critical
(155). New US federal guidelines are encouraging, in that
they eliminate trans fat, limit saturated fat, and decrease
total sugar content of foods served in schools (156).

Values
The committee places a high value on increasing
vegetable and fruit intake to decrease the risk of de-
veloping obesity. Calorie-dense, nutrient-poor foods
should not be available in the school and school sports
environments, where their presence increases their con-
sumption and implies adult assent.

3.2 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and
support healthy eating habits such as:

- avoiding the consumption of calorie-dense,
nutrient-poor foods (e.g., sugar-sweetened bever-
ages, sports drinks, fruit drinks, most “fast foods”
or those with added table sugar, high-fructose
corn syrup, high-fat or high-sodium processed
foods, and calorie-dense snacks)
- encouraging the consumption of whole fruits
rather than fruit juices.

Evidence
Drinking sugar and sugar-sweetened beverages is asso-
ciated with developing obesity (157, 158). Table sugar
consists of 50% glucose and 50% fructose; sugar-sweetened
beverages often have a higher percentage of fructose,
sometimes up to 65%; and high-fructose corn syrup is found
in many foods besides liquid beverages. Metabolic responses
differ significantly between fructose and glucose.

Consuming nutrient-poor, calorie-dense, high-fat foods
and sugar-sweetened beverages is a risk factor for obesity
(156). Reducing sugared-beverage consumption (e.g.,
soda, fruit drinks, sports drinks, and excessive con-
sumption of fruit juices) is an effective way to reduce
ingested calories (159). However, children and adolescents
currently consume, on average, 30% to 40% of calories
from nutrient-poor, energy-dense foods and drinks (160).
Although sugar-sweetened beverage intake is decreasing in
younger children, it has actually increased since 2007 in
adolescents (161). Fruit juice provides a more concen-
trated dose of carbohydrates than does whole fruit and
may not lead to the feeling of satiety experienced after
ingesting whole fruits. Thus, healthy children should limit
fruit juice ingestion and children with dental caries or
excessive weight should ingest less than the maximal
recommended volumes. Therefore, fruit juice has no role in the diet of infants under 6 months of age. After 6 months of age, fruit juice must be limited to 4 to 6 ounces per day until children reach 6 years of age, after which 8 to 12 ounces is an acceptable serving, according to the American Academy of Pediatrics policy. In view of the fact that it is easy for children to exceed such limits, the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study—Birth Cohort of >4000 children demonstrated that daily ingestion of fruit juice at 2 years of age resulted in an increase in BMI at 4 years compared with children who had no or infrequent fruit juice. The study also demonstrated that whole fruit provides increased nutritional benefit over juice. This committee encourages the consumption of whole fruits rather than fruit juices (162, 163).

Since 1965, teens have doubled their consumption of sugar-sweetened and fruit-flavored beverages (156, 164). School-based interventions can reduce soda consumption and reduce weight in students at the highest BMI percentiles (152, 165). Although there has reportedly been a 95% decrease in the amount of regular sodas shipped to schools, other sweetened beverages (such as sports drinks) have become more available in schools (166).

However, as of 2014–2015, federal guidelines now restrict the use of such “competitive foods” in the school environment. Obese or normal weight children and adolescents who substituted noncaloric beverages in lieu of sugar-sweetened beverages had less of an increase in BMI at 1 year (115, 116). Because there was no difference in satiety between those who drank sugar-sweetened beverages and those who did not, it appears that a child or adolescent will not compensate for the decreased caloric intake of nonsweetened drinks by increasing his or her caloric intake via other foods or drinks. This lack of compensation may partly explain the reduced weight gain associated with nonsweetened drinks (115, 167).

Although there are reports that reducing glycemic load may have a beneficial effect in the prevention or treatment of obesity, a systematic review of epidemiologic, prospective, and intervention studies did not demonstrate consistent results (168).

Water is frequently recommended as a beneficial replacement for sugar-sweetened beverages. Whereas a systematic review found only a weak association between water consumption and weight control in longitudinal studies, the introduction of water jets to New York City elementary school students led to a 0.022 to 0.025 decrease in BMI and a 0.6% to 0.9% decrease in risk for overweight; this is possibly related to a 12.3% decrease in milk purchases (169). Water remains the most reasonable “drinking” choice for quenching thirst and changing behavior from high-sugar drinking habits (170).

Values

The Task Force placed a high value on decreasing access to sugar-sweetened beverages by children and adolescents as a means of obesity prevention and treatment and a high value on strengthening the message to families that these beverages contribute to pediatric obesity.

Remarks

The costs of comorbidities related to pediatric and adult obesity are spiraling, and we must explore measures to limit nonnutritive excess calories as one means of preventing obesity. No nation can afford the social and financial ramifications of increased obesity incidence left unchecked. The individual practitioner cannot prevent obesity alone; a multidisciplinary health care team including dieticians, mental health practitioners, and nurses provides the optimal setting.

However, the committee agrees with the WHO that such changes must reach beyond the clinical setting and require policy changes at the highest level, as well as the cooperation of commercial entities. The committee supports the suggestion by the WHO for worldwide tax leverage on calorie-dense, nutrient-poor foods (110, 171).

3.3 We recommend that children and adolescents engage in at least 20 minutes, optimally 60 minutes, of vigorous physical activity at least 5 days per week to improve metabolic health and reduce the likelihood of developing obesity. (1+1+1+1+1)

Evidence

A common goal for preventing obesity is to increase physical activity and decrease sedentary time in addition to reducing energy intake. A meta-analysis showed a positive association between sedentary time and the risk for obesity, although the effects were small (172). The 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans (173) and other sources suggest 1 hour of activity per day for children and adolescents at a minimum; although this is a reasonable aspirational goal, the minimal achievable activity level that produces beneficial effects may be less. Shorter bursts of activity, such as 20 minutes a day 3 to 5 days per week, can improve metabolic measurements in obese children and adolescents in a 3 to 6 month period, and these lower activity levels may also prevent obesity (124). The beneficial effects of exercise are most consistent in the heaviest children and adolescents who previously had not engaged in activity. See Table 4 and section 5 on treatment for more information on how activity and sedentary time affect obesity.

Lack of activity may lead to obesity and overweight, but obesity also decreases the coordination and exercise capacity of affected and adolescents, as well as the
likelihood of being chosen for team sports, resulting in an overall decreased desire for physical activity (174–177).

**Values**

The Task Force placed a high value on interventions with a low potential for adverse effects and burdens such as increasing physical activity and decreasing sedentary time. The benefits on metabolic fitness are regularly demonstrated, although changes in weight or BMI are less consistent.

3.4 We suggest fostering healthy sleep patterns in children and adolescents to decrease the likelihood of developing obesity due to changes in caloric intake and metabolism related to disordered sleep.

(2+)

**Evidence**

Disordered sleep length and quality in adults, children, and adolescents affects appetite and decreases insulin sensitivity (150). Table 4 lists 8 studies that show how different sleep durations or changes in sleep duration affect dietary intake in children and adolescents. These results suggest that sleep duration affects obesity development, although 2 other studies challenge these findings, weakening the strength of the evidence (130, 131).

**Values**

The committee puts a high value on ensuring adequate sleep time for all children and adolescents, although the effect on dietary intake and weight gain is not definitive. The National Sleep Foundation recommends 8 to 11 hours of sleep for school age children and adolescents (178).

3.5 We recommend balancing unavoidable technology-related screen time in children and adolescents with increased opportunities for physical activity.

(2+)

**Evidence**

A systemic analysis of 24 papers reviewing 15 studies demonstrated strong evidence for decreasing screen time and increasing physical activity to prevent obesity (135); another study reported that decreasing screen time decreases sedentary time (136). A 2-generation study associated increased BMI with >2 hours of screen time per day for both parents and offspring (134). Data from >11,000 preschool children 4 to 6 years of age linked increased caloric intake from snacks and sugar-sweetened beverages to increased screen time (135, 179, 180).

**Values**

There are frequent requirements for video screen use for schoolwork; as technology becomes more prevalent, such requirements will not decrease. However, the committee put a high value on adhering to the American Academy of Pediatrics guidelines limiting discretionary screen time for children (85, 181).

3.6 We suggest that a clinician’s obesity prevention efforts enlist the entire family rather than only the individual patient.

(2++)

**Evidence**

A meta-analysis commissioned by the original Task Force demonstrated a nonsignificant trend associating family involvement with the prevention of obesity, especially if the child is <8 years of age (140, 181).

One recent meta-analysis suggested that family-based therapy is effective for treating obesity (137), and another highlighted the importance of the intensity of parental involvement in the success of family interventions to prevent and treat obesity (138). Furthermore, studies of weight loss in obese children and adolescents demonstrated the importance of including family members in the process; without parental inclusion, the effect on weight loss was not significant (182). However, there is a need for more research into the influence of family participation for the prevention or treatment of pediatric obesity (139, 140, 183). In spite of a general consensus that an authoritative parenting style is optimal and restrictive parenting in terms of food choice is not, there are insufficient data to determine what type of parenting approach is most effective in preventing pediatric obesity (184).

**Values**

The Task Force placed a high value on involving the entire family in obesity prevention efforts as a practical low-risk approach, while understanding that much of the evidence comes from treatment studies and even those studies are not unanimous on the effects of family intervention.

3.7 We suggest clinicians assess family function and make appropriate referrals to address family stressors to decrease the development of obesity.

(2++)

**Evidence**

There is evidence for an association between the development of pediatric obesity and family dysfunction as well as exposure to stress (Table 4).

**Values**

The committee placed a high value on fostering healthy family functioning and minimizing pediatric stress, as
adverse life events are linked to the development of obesity as well as numerous other complications throughout life.

3.8 We suggest using school-based programs and community engagement in pediatric obesity prevention. (2) ÅÅÅÅ

Evidence

A school-based program offers the promise of standardization across multiple sites and also can reach large populations of children and adolescents during the early and teenage years.

Numerous school-based interventions focused on reducing obesity rates. The Cardiovascular Health in Children study improved outcomes by decreasing body fat and cholesterol (185). The Cardiovascular Health in Children II study was effective in reducing body fat and blood pressure in middle school children and adolescents (186). A school-based intervention can reduce body fat and blood pressure in young adolescents (186). One reason the short-term Cardiovascular Health in Children interventions were successful in affecting physiological variables may be the increased time spent in moderate to vigorous physical activity in school (20 minutes per day in elementary schools, 30 minutes in middle schools). Both school design and adult supervision for physical activity affect the amount of physical activity that sixth to eighth graders engage in during free time (187). Additionally, school-based intervention for >4000 middle school children and adolescents at risk for T2DM in the HEALTHY Study Group demonstrated efficacy in decreasing overweight and obesity in both the intervention and control groups, and decreased BMI z score, fasting insulin, prevalence of obesity, and percentage of students with waist circumference > 90th percentile in the intervention group (188). School systems have begun to initiate before- and after-school lifetime fitness programs that appear to be helpful in controlling weight gain (189). As noted, evidence supports prevention efforts in the third grade, which could be carried out in an entire school and preschool environment.

There is moderate evidence that community-based pediatric obesity prevention programs, when combined with a school-based component, can have positive 1-year effects on preventing obesity (145). Community-based participatory research may help enhance school-community involvement, resulting in effective obesity prevention programs (146). A review of multiple settings (early care and education, school, community, health providers, and the home) demonstrated strength for each of these approaches and suggested that a combined approach holds more promise (190).

Values

In making these suggestions, the committee set a high value on the ability of school-based programs to reach a wide population that would benefit from obesity prevention and emphasized the need for additional community-based interventions that used techniques coordinated with a school setting.

3.9 We recommend using comprehensive behavior-changing interventions to prevent obesity. Such programs would be integrated with school- or community-based programs to reach the widest audience. (1) ÅÅÅÅ

Evidence

A systematic review of RCTs using behavior change techniques to prevent or treat obesity demonstrated that 6 techniques held promise for preventing obesity during a period of at least 6 months. These techniques were:

- providing individualized information on the consequences of behaviors conducive to the development of obesity
- restructuring the environment to make individualized behavior change more successful
- guiding practices expected to decrease the development of obesity
- guiding the identification of role models or advocates to change behavior
- implementing stress management/emotional control training
- providing general communication skills training.

Values

The committee realized the difficulty in providing widespread exposure to behavior change programs but placed a high value on the pursuit of effective techniques of behavior change.

3.10 We recommend breast-feeding in infants based on numerous health benefits. However, we can only suggest breast-feeding for the prevention of obesity, as evidence supporting the association between breast-feeding and subsequent obesity is inconsistent. (1) ÅÅÅÅ

The previous guidelines supported breast-feeding as an effective method of preventing obesity. However, reports on the effect of breast-feeding on preventing obesity are mixed during the last 10 to 15 years. In particular, sibling analyses point to confounding effects
in interpreting the results of cohort studies (151). Furthermore, a 6.5-year-long longitudinal cluster-randomized study of 13,889 subjects demonstrated no effect of breast-feeding on the development of obesity, even among those with more sustained breast-feeding duration (149).

Likewise, 2 meta-analyses showed no strong evidence for the associating between the time of introducing complementary feeding and the development of pediatric overweight or obesity (191, 192).

**Values**

The committee places high value on promoting breast-feeding to improve infant health but can only suggest breast-feeding as a method for preventing obesity.

**Remarks**

For most children and adolescents and their families, lifestyle patterns related to eating and exercise are established early, affecting children and adolescents not only when they are young but also throughout life. Health care providers should follow universal prevention methods to avoid the harmful health consequences of less-than-optimal lifestyle choices, conveying to all patients and families in a culturally sensitive and language-appropriate manner the energy needs and essential nutrient requirements of young children, and the importance of physical activity. This is of particular importance when we consider the increased efficacy of prevention trials when directed toward younger children.

The intestinal microflora may influence the development of obesity. Although it is premature to discuss methods of altering the intestinal flora, evidence suggests that *Bacteroides fragilis* is more frequent in the stool of overweight vs normal weight children, adolescents, and adults (193). The intestinal microflora varies between vaginal and cesarean section birth and also due to the composition of early diets, including breast milk. Upcoming results of clinical trials, which modify the microbiome, may suggest new methods of obesity prevention and treatment.

A recent systematic review that looked at the way urban environments affect health behaviors or outcomes for children and adolescents reported some evidence of potential health benefits from urban environment interventions relating to road safety and active travel. However, evidence for the effectiveness of such interventions was weak due to study designs that were opportunistic and nonrandomized, used subjective outcome measures, and did not incorporate follow-up of study participants (194). Nonetheless, health care providers are encouraged to advocate for common sense changes, including providing safe walking/biking areas in parks, school routes, and neighborhoods and providing programs for active play in free time. Environmental change recommendations require additional research with more robust study designs incorporating objective outcome measures to inform meaningful policy change.

4.0 Treating obesity

**Lifestyle: general considerations**

4.1 We recommend clinicians prescribe and support intensive, age-appropriate, culturally sensitive, family-centered lifestyle modifications (dietary, physical activity, behavioral) to promote a decrease in BMI. (1[+]+[+]+[+]+[+])

**Evidence**

The 2015 Endocrine Society Task Force commissioned a systematic review to evaluate the impact of weight change on metabolic outcomes in children and adolescents who are obese (5). The results showed that change in BMI was associated with improvements in triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein, and systolic blood pressure. This analysis is limited by the fact that it used aggregate data. Other studies also showed associations between weight change and other metabolic outcomes (Fig. 2) (195, 196).

Successful weight management, through lifestyle interventions, delays the onset of T2DM in adults (197) and improves cardiovascular fitness (198, 199). Many pediatric weight management programs have found improved body composition and metabolic parameters (13, 200).

A commonly held belief is that lifestyle modification is not sufficiently efficacious. Children and adolescents may not lose weight, or despite initial success, children and adolescents might regain weight after the active phase of the program has ended (201). A factor in weight regain may be lack of continued exercise. The odds for weight regain are twofold greater in those who are sedentary (201). In a 10-year study of adults who participated in the National Weight Control Registry, >87% of participants maintained at least 10% weight loss for 5 to 10 years. A worse outcome was associated with decreased physical activity, decreased dietary restraint, decreased frequency of self-weighing, increased dietary restraint as fat, and increased disinhibition (202).

There is sufficient evidence that intensive lifestyle modification programs can be effective tools for pediatric weight control in the short term (203, 204). Furthermore, implementing a formal maintenance program after the completed treatment phase can be important for
maintaining achieved weight loss (205). This finding is consistent with the concept of obesity as a chronic disease (206).

A Task Force–commissioned meta-analysis of randomized pediatric trials of combined lifestyle interventions for treating obesity (diet and exercise) showed a modest but significant effect on obesity (equivalent to a decrease in BMI of 1.5 kg/m²; \( P < 0.00001 \)) when interventions targeted family involvement. When parents were not specifically included, the effect on weight loss was not significant (182). These results suggest involving the family when delivering combined lifestyle interventions.

An additional meta-analysis of RCTs of lifestyle interventions (without an analysis of family involvement) found moderate positive effects from the interventions when compared with no treatment or information-only controls. These effects persisted for an average follow-up period of 15 months (207). Although there was overlap with the Task Force meta-analysis, each study contained reports not covered by the other.

An evidence-based position statement of the American Dietetic Association supports the utility of family-based lifestyle interventions for children and similar multi-component programs for adolescents (208). These recommendations are consistent with conclusions of a combined CDC and American Medical Association expert committee (209) and an evidence-based review of pharmacological interventions for pediatric obesity that highlighted the importance of concomitant intensive lifestyle interventions, including dietary, exercise, and family counseling (210).

Values and preferences

In making this recommendation, the Task Force placed a high value on promoting healthy, safe pediatric lifestyle modification that included family involvement, with potential wide-reaching benefits.

Remarks

Clinicians should encourage BMI reduction for patients with obesity. A Task Force commissioned meta-analysis demonstrated favorable effects on systolic blood pressure, serum triglycerides, and serum high-density lipoprotein with decreasing BMI or weight (5). When interpreting these data, one must consider that the beneficial effects seen in the 133 RCTs and 16 systematic reviews are for averaged data, not individualized patients; other factors such as age, ethnicity, or genetics may modify individual responses. Very large changes may not be necessary. Although a BMI decrease of 1.5 kg/m² (reported in the meta-analysis commissioned by the first Task Force) may seem small, if maintained for the long term, overweight or obese children and adolescents may benefit by maintaining weight as they grow; BMI will decline as linear growth proceeds, and lifestyle modification may reduce fat mass, increase lean body mass, and improve cardiovascular fitness (211). Seven percent weight loss may be a more realistic goal for children and adolescents with extreme obesity. Well-designed RCTs, with large numbers of patients, employing intensive lifestyle intervention and follow-up maintenance programs, will help develop refined techniques. A review of 25 years of behavioral therapy intervention in children and adolescents has demonstrated that long-term weight loss maintenance is possible (212). Other RCTs of diet, physical activity, and/or behavior modification have also demonstrated persistent changes in BMI (212, 213).

Dietary

4.2 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and support healthy eating habits in accordance with the following guidelines of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the US Department of Agriculture:

- decreased consumption of fast foods
- decreased consumption of added table sugar and elimination of sugar-sweetened beverages
- decreased consumption of high-fructose corn syrup and improved labeling of foods containing high-fructose corn syrup
- decreased consumption of high-fat, high-sodium, or processed foods
- consumption of whole fruit rather than fruit juices
- portion control education
- reduced saturated dietary fat intake for children and adolescents ≥2 years of age
- US Department of Agriculture recommended intake of dietary fiber, fruits, and vegetables
- timely, regular meals, and avoiding constant “grazing” during the day, especially after school and after supper
- recognizing eating cues in the child’s or adolescent’s environment, such as boredom, stress, loneliness, or screen time
- encouraging single portion packaging and improved food labeling for easier use by consumers. (Ungraded Good Practice Statement)

Evidence

(Refer to section 3.2 for some of the evidence for recommendation 4.2.) Children and adolescents who are overweight are more likely to skip breakfast and consume few large meals per day (214) than do their leaner counterparts who are more likely to consume smaller, more
daily caloric intake can reduce weight gain among children and adolescents (204). The beneficial effects of both aerobic exercise and resistance training can be short-lived, and exercise must be sustained over months. Even 20 minutes of aerobic activity 5 days per week over 13 weeks can decrease body and visceral fat (124). Recent studies in Denmark and elsewhere have demonstrated benefits in mild intensity jogging and in small 10- to 15-minute intervals of exercise, which may be more readily achievable (219, 220).

Physical fitness, even without weight loss, may confer health benefits. Improvements in cardiovascular fitness were associated with improvements in body composition and diabetes risk factors in adolescents (220). In addition to improving metabolic fitness, exercise has been linked to improvements in cognitive function and concentration (124). (Refer to section 4.8 regarding school-based interventions to increase activity.)

Values

The Committee placed a high value on losing weight (in the form of body fat) by decreasing caloric intake and increasing energy expenditure.

Remarks

Although current recommendations state that school children and adolescents (who spend about half their waking hours in school) should receive a minimum of 30 to 60 minutes of moderately vigorous physical activity and at least 60 minutes of aerobic (moderate and vigorous) physical activity each school day, only 5% of school districts in the United States have a requirement for a specific amount of physical education (221–225). Clinicians should place emphasis on increasing a child’s or adolescent’s activity by helping facilitate:

- the ability to safely walk to and from school
- increased use of stairs (and improved signage to indicate their location)
- increased breaks for movement in the classroom
- increased movement during recess and gym.

Moderate to vigorous exercise is defined as causing some increase in breathing and heart rate; in a healthy person this is usually associated with brisk walking, dancing, swimming, or cycling on flat terrain. In exercise physiology terms, the energy expended should be at least 3 metabolic equivalents (85, 226). Moderate exercise allows talking but not singing, and vigorous exercise makes it impossible to sing and difficult to talk. This generalization should help families understand and identify the difference between moderate and vigorous exercise.

The use of motivational interviews to help an older child or adolescent and/or his or her parent set physical fitness or dietary goals may lead to greater success in

Values and preferences

The committee placed a high value on decreasing snacking and decreasing overall caloric intake to reduce weight gain among children and adolescents.

Remarks

A meta-analysis in children and adolescents suggests that improved weight can be achieved regardless of the macronutrient composition of the diet, and this mirrors similar results found in adults (218). The WHO has recently recommended that adults, children, and adolescents limit sugar to <5% to 10% of total daily energy intake, unless the sugars are contained in fresh fruits and vegetables, which are lower in calories and higher in fiber than processed carbohydrates. The other carbohydrates, which they term “free sugars,” include honey; other sweeteners; glucose/fructose; and sugar added by a cook, consumer, or producer. This recommendation was termed “strong” because of moderate quality evidence that increasing free sugars in one’s diet increases body weight, and decreasing free sugars decreases body weight (110).

A dietician familiar with the energy needs of growing children and adolescents should supervise caloric reduction for weight loss or maintenance in patients of this age group. Unbalanced hypocaloric diets (e.g., “fad diets”) may be deficient in essential vitamins and minerals.

Physical activity

4.3 We recommend that clinicians prescribe and support the reduction of inactivity and also a minimum of 20 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity daily, with a goal of 60 minutes, all in the context of a calorie-controlled diet.

Evidence

In the absence of caloric restriction, moderate exercise does not cause weight loss. However, in combination with decreased caloric intake, exercise can achieve and maintain significant weight loss. Studies performed in the school setting have shown beneficial effects of exercise in children and adolescents (204). The beneficial effects of both aerobic exercise and resistance training can be short-lived, and exercise must be sustained over months. Even 20 minutes of aerobic activity 5 days per week over 13 weeks can decrease body and visceral fat (124). Recent studies in Denmark and elsewhere have demonstrated benefits in mild intensity jogging and in small 10- to 15-minute intervals of exercise, which may be more readily achievable (219, 220).

Physical fitness, even without weight loss, may confer health benefits. Improvements in cardiovascular fitness were associated with improvements in body composition and diabetes risk factors in adolescents (220). In addition to improving metabolic fitness, exercise has been linked to improvements in cognitive function and concentration (124). (Refer to section 4.8 regarding school-based interventions to increase activity.)

Values

The Committee placed a high value on losing weight (in the form of body fat) by decreasing caloric intake and increasing energy expenditure.

Remarks

Although current recommendations state that school children and adolescents (who spend about half their waking hours in school) should receive a minimum of 30 to 60 minutes of moderately vigorous physical activity and at least 60 minutes of aerobic (moderate and vigorous) physical activity each school day, only 5% of school districts in the United States have a requirement for a specific amount of physical education (221–225). Clinicians should place emphasis on increasing a child’s or adolescent’s activity by helping facilitate:

- the ability to safely walk to and from school
- increased use of stairs (and improved signage to indicate their location)
- increased breaks for movement in the classroom
- increased movement during recess and gym.

Moderate to vigorous exercise is defined as causing some increase in breathing and heart rate; in a healthy person this is usually associated with brisk walking, dancing, swimming, or cycling on flat terrain. In exercise physiology terms, the energy expended should be at least 3 metabolic equivalents (85, 226). Moderate exercise allows talking but not singing, and vigorous exercise makes it impossible to sing and difficult to talk. This generalization should help families understand and identify the difference between moderate and vigorous exercise.

The use of motivational interviews to help an older child or adolescent and/or his or her parent set physical fitness or dietary goals may lead to greater success in
decreasing BMI (218, 219). In spite of limitations inherent in the method, clinicians should assess a patient’s readiness for change when determining how to approach the family.

4.4 We suggest that clinicians encourage and support patients to limit nonacademic screen time to 1 to 2 hours per day and decrease other sedentary behaviors, such as digital activities. (2|0|0|0)

**Evidence**

The 2009 Cochrane analysis reported that a combined behavioral approach incorporating both dietary and physical activity changes can produce a significant and clinically meaningful reduction in overweight in children and adolescents (204). A meta-analysis commissioned by the original Task Force of 3 randomized trials of interventions for reducing sedentary activity reported imprecise results (i.e., that these interventions had both a favorable and unfavorable impact on obesity outcomes) (182). Both girls and boys demonstrated small decreases in the amount of screen time in a German study, and these decreases did not correlate with increases in physical activity (227).

**Values and preferences**

The committee placed a high value on limiting digital access time and other efforts to decrease sedentary time. As our ever-increasing digital environment necessitates increased screen time, a plan for the world’s children and adolescents should complement necessary screen time with:

- environments that demand and facilitate movement
- monetary incentives for decreased caloric intake (such as taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages).

The committee agrees with research that finds an association with the presence of a television set in a child’s bedroom to increased screen time and increase caloric intake while weakening the positive influence of parents on promotion of healthy habits (228, 229).

**Psychological complications of overweight and obesity**

**Psychosocial**

4.5 We suggest that the health care team identify maladaptive rearing patterns related to diet and activity and educate families about healthy food and exercise habits. (2|0|0|0)

4.6 We suggest that the health care team probe for and diagnose unhealthy intrafamily communication patterns and support rearing patterns that seek to enhance the child’s or adolescent’s self-esteem. (2|0|0|0)

4.7 We suggest that the health care team evaluate for psychosocial comorbidities and prescribe assessment and counseling when psychosocial problems are suspected. (2|0|0|0)

**Evidence**

In section 4 we discuss the importance of involving the whole family, and not just the child or adolescent, in prevention and treatment interventions.

How interactions between parents and children and adolescents and parenting styles contribute to unhealthy habits is a subject of investigation (230, 231). An additional factor to overcome before initiating any intervention may be the parents’ inability to recognize that their child or adolescent is overweight, particularly for the preschool child (232–234).

Obesity is associated with QOL, with levels measured in obese children and adolescents equivalent to those seen in pediatric cancer or diabetes (235, 236). In addition to low QOL, children and adolescents with obesity have significant psychosocial comorbidities, including poor self-esteem (237–239), increased risk of depression and anxiety (240–242), and higher-than-average risk of eating disorders and substance abuse. Low self-esteem (243) and perceived or actual higher BMIs are associated with increased likelihood of smoking and alcohol consumption (244).

To remove the bias that might be seen in a clinic sample, the Childhood Growth and Development Study in Australia enrolled healthy weight (n = 158), overweight (n = 77), and obese (n = 27) children from the schools and from families asking to be referred (n = 19). Heights, weights, and psychological testing were done in the schools for the school-based cohort (245). Increasing BMI z scores were associated with decreasing self-worth and global self-esteem as well as with decreased athletic competency, social acceptance, and dissatisfaction with their physical appearance. These associations were reported as young as age 8 years, but the association with physical appearance was more pronounced in the older group (246). The presence of psychosocial distress in a population of school children and adolescents not seeking clinic referral, as well as those seeking referral, indicates that psychosocial issues are present in both clinical and nonclinical populations of youths who are obese.

A review of the literature found lower QOL scores for social acceptance, family life, physical appearance, school functioning, and physical functioning in all but 2 of the 34 publications included in the study. Factors influencing lower QOL included degree of obesity, symptoms of
depression, lack of social support from classmates/family, and low socioeconomic status (247).

In general, low self-esteem does not seem to be a significant problem until adolescence, as self-esteem is similar between preteen children who are obese and normal weight. During adolescence, however, self-esteem becomes more closely tied to body image, and rapidly plummets, with those adolescent females who have higher BMIs and body image dissatisfaction having the lowest self-esteem (248).

Individuals with eating disorders tend to define self-worth by their body image (249), possibly explaining the association between eating disorders and youths who are overweight and obese. Surveys from 135 Hispanic and African American girls who are obese or overweight revealed that 52% had been teased about their weight by girls and 60% had been teased about their weight by boys. Of those who were teased, 70% skipped meals, dieted, or starved themselves; 12% reported binge eating; and 33% stated they had “emotional” eating. All of the girls surveyed stated they were unhappy about their weight and wanted to be thinner (250). Eating disorders, including binge eating and anorexia nervosa/bulimia, are more commonly seen in those who have depression, anxiety, and disrupted behavior (251, 252).

Parental reaction to their child’s weight affects how the child responds. Bullying by peers and families contributes to poor body image and impaired psychosocial functioning (253).

Some may harass their child, letting them know how unattractive he/she is, resulting in worsening body image and poor self-esteem. A retrospective Internet-based study of college students with great concern about their weight, body shape, and eating behaviors revealed that >80% had a history of parents or siblings making negative comments about their weight, shape, or eating behaviors. Most scored above average in psychometric emotional-abuse tests, with positive associations with negative parental comments and higher weight and negative associations with social support and self-esteem (254). Some parents are overly restrictive, potentially causing their children and adolescents to binge when they have access to unrestricted food (255, 256). Alternatively, adolescents with extreme obesity may develop anorexia bulimia, anorexia nervosa, or purging behaviors in an effort to lose weight. A cross-sectional cohort study of adolescents with extreme obesity and their parents found bulimic symptoms did not correlate with the degree of obesity but were associated with maternal psychopathology, including somatization and anxiety (257).

Youths who are obese are more likely to be teased and bullied and are less likely to have a “best friend” or be considered popular by classmates than their thinner peers (258). Parents, teachers, and peers indicate that youths who are obese are more isolated and have poorer social skills than do their thinner counterparts (259). Those with low self-esteem (243) and perceived or actual higher BMIs are more likely to smoke and drink alcohol than those with higher self-esteem (244). Additionally, they are less athletic and less likely to have romantic relationships, contributing to increased teasing, worsening of self-esteem, loneliness, depression, anxiety, and introverted behavior (260).

In general, those who are most obese report more psychological distress (246, 261). Girls become more depressed with increasing BMI than do boys, and some studies indicate that depression in African American boys is not linked to BMI but rather to peer teasing (246, 262). Race and socioeconomic status (in addition to sex) affect how children and adolescents react to obesity; however, there are conflicting reports on the effect of obesity on psychological status in different groups. One study found that African American children have more body image dissatisfaction and anxiety than do their same-weight white counterparts (262), whereas a study of adolescents found that African Americans and Hispanics are less stigmatized than whites (263). High socioeconomic status adolescents who are obese with psychopathology are less likely to seek help at a weight-loss program than are low socioeconomic status adolescents who are obese (252), possibly due to a more negative perception regarding obesity in high socioeconomic status families.

As adolescents who are obese consistently report high rates of depression, anxiety, and binge eating disorders, all overweight patients should be assessed for psychopathology. Assessment and counseling by a psychologist are often indicated. Clinicians should prescribe antidepressant medications with caution, as atypical antipsychotics cause rapid (often extreme) weight gain (264).

Diuretics, diet pills, and self-induced emesis are not uncommonly used to achieve rapid weight loss by adolescents. One study demonstrated that laxative use, self-induced vomiting, and diet pill ingestion were more common in adolescents who are obese compared with those who are normal weight and overweight (265). Six percent of 6957 middle school children and adolescents in North Carolina used diet pills and 7.1% used laxatives or self-induced vomiting. The case prevalence of diet pills was 3.4 in normal weight, 4.1 in overweight, and 9.5 in adolescents who are obese; the case prevalence of laxatives was 1.3, 0.7, and 3.2, respectively; and the case prevalence of self-induced vomiting was 3.4 in normal weight vs 7.6 in adolescents who are obese. Females more commonly abused substances for weight loss, such as
tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana; they were also more likely to participate in risky sexual behaviors (265). Clinicians should discuss these maladaptive behaviors at clinic visits, as they are potentially harmful. It is important to emphasize moderation rather than restriction.

**Remarks**

As psychosocial issues are so prevalent, providers should psychologically screen all youths who are obese for the presence of mental health issues, asking questions regarding:

- school absences/refusal
- teasing by peers regarding weight/appearance
- persistent anxiety
- depression/self-harm
- anger outbursts
- sexual activity, alcohol, drug use
- eating disorders—purging, anorexia, binge eating
- family functioning/family attitudes about weight and specifically obesity/parent psychopathology.

Parents and/or children and adolescents should complete a mental health screening measure, such as the Pediatric Symptom Checklist (266). Clinicians can review this during patient visits and refer patients to a mental health professional when indicated. Obesity-related mental health issues are a pervasive problem, and a team-based approach is essential, involving school counselors, nurses, and teachers, as well as health care providers. It might also be helpful to consult with school personnel to initiate school-based counseling. A list of local programs (e.g., YMCA, Boys and Girls Clubs) that offer physical activity programs and healthy snacks is also helpful. Behavioral modification is helpful in determining the child’s readiness to change and potential barriers to achieving change (264).

**Pharmacotherapy**

4.8 We suggest pharmacotherapy for children or adolescents with obesity only after a formal program of intensive lifestyle modification has failed to limit weight gain or to ameliorate comorbidities (2++;). We recommend against using obesity medications in children and adolescents <16 years of age who are overweight but not obese, except in the context of clinical trials. (1++)

4.9 We suggest that FDA-approved pharmacotherapy for obesity be administered only with a concomitant lifestyle modification program of the highest intensity available and only by clinicians who are experienced in the use of antiobesity agents and are aware of the potential for adverse reactions. (2++)

4.10 We suggest that clinicians should discontinue medication and re-evaluate the patient if the patient does not have a >4% BMI/BMI z score reduction after taking antiobesity medication for 12 weeks at the medication’s full dosage. (2++)

**Evidence**

The FDA recently approved a number of weight-loss medications for adults (216, 267, 268) and considers these medications to be appropriate for those ≥16 years of age who have BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² or who have BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² and at least 1 weight-related comorbid condition (e.g., hypertension or T2DM). However, although the utility of pharmacotherapy in pediatric obesity has been recently reviewed (269–271), there are no published data directly comparing adult and adolescent outcomes for obesity pharmacotherapy.

Physicians should be discouraged from prescribing weight loss medications off-label to those <16 years old because of: 1) the lack of FDA approval for use; 2) the limited number of well-controlled safety and efficacy studies in obese children and adolescents, 3) the limited efficacy demonstrated in adults for most agents, and 4) the need to weigh the relative risk of drug-induced adverse events in children and adolescents against a medication’s long-term theoretical potential for reducing obesity-related morbidity and mortality.

Despite these concerns, the negative health impact of pediatric obesity may justify long-term medication. However, pharmacotherapy should only be prescribed in combination with comprehensive lifestyle modification programs (210, 271–274) that have substantial efficacy (270). The limited available evidence suggests the best pediatric pharmacotherapy outcomes are among patients adherent to lifestyle program recommendations (275).

Among pharmacotherapeutic agents approved for adult obesity (Table 5), only orlistat is FDA approved for obesity treatment of ages 12 to 16 years. Orlistat (299–305) inhibits gastrointestinal lipases, reducing adolescent’s fat absorption by ~30% (299). Orlistat reduces BMI significantly in adolescents by ~0.7 to 1.7 kg/m² (150, 318), but treatment is associated with significant gastrointestinal side effects (Table 5). Orlistat must be taken with each meal, thus reducing its utility in school-attending adolescents. Orlistat appears to affect the absorption of fat-soluble vitamins E and D (299). Available data suggest that ~50% of pediatric patients that are prescribed orlistat discontinued it within 1 month, 75% stop using it by 3 months, and only 10% remain on orlistat after 6 months (319, 320). Given its limited
Table 4. Factors Associated With Prevention of Pediatric Obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Relationship to the Development of Obesity or Metabolic Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Increased sugar sweetened beverages intake</td>
<td>There was a decrease of 57 calories/d intake of sugar-sweetened beverages between 2003–2004 and 2009–2010 with no appreciable change in sugar intake thereafter up to 2011–2012</td>
<td>Ford et al., 2015 (112)</td>
<td>Probable +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional analysis of 4880 children between 3 and 11 y from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey between 1999 and 2004</td>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverage intake was independently associated with decreased HDL, increased C-reactive protein, and increased waist circumference</td>
<td>Kosova et al., 2013 (113)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal study of 9600 children in the Early Childhood Longitudinal Survey–Birth Cohort</td>
<td>There was a 1.4 odds ratio for being obese if a 5-y-old child drinks 4 or 5 sugar-sweetened beverages per day but no such risk for 2-y-old; however there was a significant influence on drinking sugar-sweetened beverages at 2 y of age and an increase in BMI z score during the next 2 y</td>
<td>DeBoer et al., 2013 (114)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized controlled study of 224 teenagers that reduced sugar sweetened beverage intake</td>
<td>There was a decrease in the change in BMI and weight at 1 y but no difference at 2 y</td>
<td>Ebbeling et al., 2012 (115)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighteen-month study of 642 primarily normal-weight Dutch children aged 4 y 10 mo to 11 y 11 mo who were divided into groups receiving 8 ounces of sugar-free drink or 105 kcal containing sugar-sweetened drinks</td>
<td>There was an increased weight gain and increase in BMI in the sugar-sweetened group</td>
<td>de Ruyter et al., 2012 (116)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hundred forty-six 7- to 11-y-olds drinking sugar-free or sugar-sweetened beverages</td>
<td>There was no difference in the level of satiety experienced; the conclusion is that the child will not compensate for all calories missing from nonsweetened drinks, which may partly explain a lower degree of weight gain with nonsweetened drink ingestion</td>
<td>de Ruyter et al., 2012 (116)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Higher level of activity*</td>
<td>There was little effect on BMI, but there were decreases in triglycerides and systolic and diastolic blood pressure when the intervention lasted at least 6 mo; total cholesterol, however, did increase during some studies</td>
<td>Cesa et al., 2014 (117); Vasconcellos et al., 2014 (118)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nine randomized controlled pediatric studies (n = 367) included in a meta-analysis</td>
<td>At least 3 mo of exercise in 3 sessions per week of 60 min each led to decreased fasting glucose and insulin and body fat</td>
<td>Garcia-Hermoso et al., 2014 (119)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis of 24 studies of fasting insulin levels and 12 studies on insulin resistance in pediatric normal weight overweight and obese</td>
<td>There was a small but positive effect in improving fasting insulin resistance in children, with the greatest effect occurring in those with the highest BMI standard deviation values</td>
<td>Fedewa et al., 2014 (120)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review of 16 studies of school-based jumping exercises</td>
<td>There was small positive effect of bone-targeted exercise on fat mass (SMD, –0.248; 95% CI, –0.406 to –0.089) and lean mass (SMD, 0.159; 95% CI, –0.076 to 0.394), but there are few studies</td>
<td>Nogueira and Hrovat, 2014 (121)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis of 40 studies on the effect of resistance training in pediatric overweight or obese</td>
<td>Resistance training in children and adolescents who are overweight and obese appears to generally have very small to small effects on body composition and moderate to large effects on strength</td>
<td>Schranz et al., 2013 (122)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review of 2 aggregate data meta-analyses representing 14 and 17 studies in 481 and 701 boys and girls, respectively</td>
<td>Exercise decreased the percentage of body fat but does not necessarily have an effect on BMI; therefore, replacing fat tissue with muscle may not necessarily be reflected by characteristic clinic-based anthropomorphic data</td>
<td>Kelley and Kelley, 2013 (123)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 4. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomized controlled pediatric study of &gt;200 subjects who experienced 20 or 40 min of fun but nonetheless aerobic activity 5 d/wk during 13 wk</td>
<td>There was a dose response decrease in insulin resistance measured by the area under the curve of an oral glucose tolerance test, decreased total body fat and visceral fat, and a similar improvement in fitness measured by peak VO2; the conclusion is that there is benefit for a child who is obese if the child will actually engage in at least 20 min of aerobic exercise 5 d/wk, (and we expect this may extend to the prevention of obesity)</td>
<td>Davis et al., 2011 (124)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.4 Decreased sleep duration or variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A systematic review and unbiased meta-analysis of 11 longitudinal studies of 24,821 children and adolescents</td>
<td>There was a twofold increase in risk for obesity with “short” sleep duration according to sleep standards</td>
<td>Fatima et al., 2015 (125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration in a cross-sectional pediatric study (n = 676)</td>
<td>Energy density of the diet, added sugar, and SSBs decreased with increased sleep</td>
<td>Kjeldsen et al., 2014 (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variability in sleep duration of 10 min per night</td>
<td>This was positively associated with energy density (P = 0.04), sugar-sweetened beverages intake (P = 0.03), and Children’s Sleep Habits Questionnaire score independent of sleep duration</td>
<td>Kjeldsen et al., 2014 (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour decrease in pediatric sleep duration (n = 441) during 200 d</td>
<td>There was a higher intake of added sugar (P = 0.001) and sugar-sweetened beverages (P = 0.002) with no change in energy density of the diet (P = 0.78)</td>
<td>Hjorth et al., 2014 (127); Kjeldsen et al., 2014 (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping &lt;10 h at 16 mo of age in 1303 twins</td>
<td>There was a 50 kcal increased intake</td>
<td>Fisher et al., 2014 (128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing pediatric sleep duration an average of 2 h 20 min (n = 37)</td>
<td>There was decreased caloric intake by 134 kcal/d and lowered plasma leptin</td>
<td>Hart et al., 2013 (129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three hundred eleven term infants; sleep duration at 9 mo, 18 mo, and 3 y of age</td>
<td>There was no relationship between sleep duration and adiposity indicators in 9- to 36-mo-old children: the SKOT cohort</td>
<td>Klingenberg et al., 2013 (130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight hundred two 4- to 14-y-old children and adolescents; sleep and intake followed for 7 d</td>
<td>There was no relationship between sleep duration and energy intake, but there was a trend toward a positive association with intake of dietary fiber and vegetables and a negative association with intake of poultry, and a trend toward a negative association with intake of liquid “discretionary calories”</td>
<td>Hoppe et al., 2013 (131)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal cohort study of 550 children of average age 9.6 y</td>
<td>There was an odds ratio of 2.08 for obesity with 10 h sleep</td>
<td>Chaput et al., 2011 (132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A meta-analysis of 12 studies including 20,063 children</td>
<td>There was a 1.86 odds ratio for obesity with “short” duration of sleep</td>
<td>Cappuccio et al., 2008 (133)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.5 Increased screen time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurements at ~12 y of age of 234 parents from a previously established cohort were compared with 382 of their offspring for screen time and measures of adiposity</td>
<td>Both generations demonstrated a relationship between screen time and obesity at about 12.5 y of age, demonstrating a need to target high-risk families across generations</td>
<td>Steffen et al., 2013 (134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A systematic review of 7 prospective studies on television time and 1 study on computer use</td>
<td>Six studies of varying quality demonstrated a positive relationship between screen time and the development of obesity</td>
<td>te Velde et al., 2012 (135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventy children studied every 6 mo during 2 y in a randomized controlled study to decrease television viewing 50% and decrease sedentary activity in the intervention group of 35</td>
<td>The intervention decreased sedentary activity especially in lower socioeconomic group children; there was relationship between decreased television viewing, decreased BMI, and decreased energy, but not increased activity</td>
<td>Epstein et al., 2008 (136)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### 4.6 Increased family involvement in prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fifteen RCTs of family-based lifestyle interventions for children and adolescents</td>
<td>Family-based interventions based in behavior theory had more effect than did those theoretically connected to family systems theory</td>
<td>Sung-Chan et al., 2013 (137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A systematic review including 24 studies including parental involvement in long-term weight-control interventions with a nutritional focus</td>
<td>Although there were inadequate data to determine whether parental involvement in prevention programs is important, medium and high levels of parental involvement in obesity treatment programs improved outcomes, suggesting that parental involvement should be studied in prevention</td>
<td>van der Kruk et al., 2013 (138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifteen studies (7 were longitudinal) included measures of frequency of family meals although in an inconsistent manner</td>
<td>There was inconsistent and weak evidence of an inverse association between the frequency of family meals and risk of pediatric overweight; there is need for robust longitudinal studies on this topic</td>
<td>Valdes et al., 2013 (139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A systematic review of 9 studies including portion manipulation interventions or portion education/training interventions</td>
<td>Most studies demonstrated increased intake with increased portion size, and that parents can be educated to estimate portion size more accurately, but there were other studies that contradicted both concepts</td>
<td>Small et al., 2013 (140)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.7 Disordered family function or abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review of 16 cross-sectional and 1 longitudinal study of family function</td>
<td>Lower levels of family functioning, including poor communication, poor behavior control, poor family cohesion, high levels of family conflict, and low family hierarchy values representing low authority, dominance, and decision power, showed low to moderate relationship to the subject’s classification of pediatric obese or overweight; however, out of 4 interventional studies only 2 showed that improved family functioning decreased the risk of obesity, but these studies were suboptimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A meta-analysis of 41 studies including 190,285 participants</td>
<td>Pediatric maltreatment was associated with a 1.36 increased risk ratio for pediatric obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review of 36 studies</td>
<td>Interpersonal violence increased the risk of obesity later in life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review of 6 prospective and 2 retrospective studies</td>
<td>Stressful environments during childhood and adolescence, including lack of good care, pediatric anxiety disorders, learning difficulties, low school achievement, and childhood/adolescence abuse, increased adult obesity risk, depression in adolescence, and increased the risk for obesity in girls only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.8 Increased school involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nine community-based studies (5 RCTs and 4 non-RCTs) of which 1 was conducted only in the community setting, 3 were conducted in the community and school setting, and 5 were conducted in the community setting in combination with at least 1 other setting, such as the home</td>
<td>There was moderate strength of evidence that a combined diet and physical activity intervention in the community with a school component is effective at preventing obesity or overweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A systematic review of 16 studies involving school prevention programs with community involvement</td>
<td>School programs with more community involvement were more successful than those with less community involvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
efficacy and low long-term use, orlistat appears of limited benefit in practice.

Additional medications not FDA approved for the treatment of pediatric obesity
Metformin (306–311, 321–334) is not FDA approved for obesity treatment. However, metformin reduces hepatic glucose production, increases peripheral insulin sensitivity, and may reduce appetite (335). Metformin decreases BMI, but with a mean decrease of only 1.16 kg/m² over 6 to 12 months (336). Metformin may also possibly be useful in combating the weight gain observed in children and adolescents who are taking atypical psychotropic medications (337, 338) or who have PCOS (324, 331, 339). However, given its limited weight-loss efficacy, metformin is not a considered a weight-loss treatment.

Sibutramine (275, 284, 285, 340–346) was removed from the US market in 2010 because of concerns for

### Table 4. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Format</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis of 37 studies of 27,946 children generally between 6 and 12 y of age</td>
<td>There were beneficial effects of pediatric obesity prevention programs on BMI with school curriculum that includes healthy eating; physical activity and body image; increased sessions for physical activity and the development of fundamental movement skills throughout the school week; improvements in nutritional quality of the food supply in schools; environments and cultural practices that support children eating healthier foods and being active throughout each day; support for teachers and other staff to implement health promotion strategies and activities, as well as parent support and home activities that encourage children to be more active, eat more nutritious foods, and spend less time in screen-based activities; however, weaknesses in studies and potential bias point to the necessity for improved studies in the future</td>
<td>Waters et al., 2011 (147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10 Increased breast feeding</td>
<td>Breast-feeding was protective of the development of obesity with a dose response effect in 17 studies</td>
<td>Yan et al., 2014 (148); Kramer et al., 2009 (149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A cluster-randomized trial of a breast-feeding promotion intervention of 13,889 subjects (81.5%) followed up at 6.5 y from 31 Belarusian maternity hospitals and affiliated clinics</td>
<td>Although there were substantial increases in the duration and exclusivity of breast-feeding, there was no reduction in obesity at age 6.5 y</td>
<td>Kramer et al., 2009 (149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis of 10 studies of breast-feeding</td>
<td>Five studies showed protective effects and 5 did not; likewise, there were mixed findings on length of breast-feeding and time of introduction of complementary food</td>
<td>Weng et al., 2012 (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort analyses of 11,998 teenagers from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health</td>
<td>There was a decreased risk of obesity in girls breast-fed at least 9 mo with similar, but less significant, effects in boys; however, analysis of sibling pairs eliminated any significance from the relationship, demonstrating the effect of confounding effects on cohort analyses</td>
<td>Nelson et al., 2005 (151)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers 4.2–4.8 and 4.10 refer to numbered recommendations in the manuscript.
Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SKOT, Scottish Childhood Obesity Treatment Trial; SMD, standardized mean difference; TV, television; VO2, oxygen consumption.

Some studies included obese children and adolescents, but results may relate to prevention.
cardiovascular safety but remains available in several other countries.

Other medications approved for obesity treatment of ≥16 years of age or under investigation generally have few relevant pediatric data (297, 298) (Table 5).

Some centrally active, amphetamine-like catecholaminergic and dopaminergic stimulants, such as phentermine and diethylpropion, are FDA approved as short-term monotherapy (a few weeks) for obesity in adults. Recently, lisdexamfetamine dimesylate was FDA approved to treat binge eating in adults (282, 283). Lisdexamfetamine treatment was associated with short-term weight loss, but this medication is not FDA approved for weight management. Because of adverse effect profiles (Table 5), abuse potential (347), and the absence of trials showing long-term weight loss efficacy, none of the amphetamine-like agents is recommended for obesity management in children and adolescents.

Although not FDA approved for the treatment of obesity, GH treatment of children and adolescents with Prader-Willi syndrome, particularly when started early (90), decreases body fat percentage and increases lean body mass (348), with effects that may be sustained for the long term (90). A summary of the benefits and risks of GH treatment (349) and consensus guidelines for GH therapy in Prader-Willi Syndrome are available (350).

Octreotide limits the opening of voltage-gated calcium channels in beta cells (351, 352), decreasing the magnitude of insulin response to glucose (353). In obese adults with insulin hypersecretion, treating with long-acting repeatable octreotide for 6 months resulted in ~2% greater weight loss than in controls (316). Studies have reported weight stabilization, instead of significant weight gain, in children and adolescents with hypothalamic obesity treated with somatostatin analogs (315, 354). Given its side-effect profile, octreotide appears to be potentially beneficial only for those with hypothalamic obesity.

Liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide 1 analog, is approved for long-term adult obesity treatment; the effective 3 mg dose produced an additional weight loss of 4.5% vs placebo at 1 year, with sustained effects for up to 2 years (355). Small trials suggest that another glucagon-like peptide 1 analog, exenatide, may potentially have efficacy in adolescent obesity; used for >3 months, exenatide reduced BMI by >1 kg/m² (compared with control), with continued BMI reduction during a 3-month open-label phase (297, 298).

Leptin therapy in leptin-deficient patients produces significant loss of fat mass (295, 356, 357). Unfortunately, leptin therapy in adults who are not leptin deficient has little effect on body weight (358–360).

Agents that have been recently approved for long-term obesity treatment in adults (Table 5) currently lack pediatric-specific data. The additional weight loss (beyond that achieved with placebo) at 1 year among adults ranges from ~3% (lorcaserin) to ~10% (phentermine plus topiramate) (267), but none is without potential risks. If
adult patients taking full-dose lorcaserin, bupropion plus naltrexone, liraglutide, or phentermine plus topiramate do not see clinically meaningful weight loss (>3% to 5% of body weight) after 12 weeks, clinicians should discontinue treatment, because significant weight loss after 1 year is unlikely. Similar results were found for adults given orlistat (361). In the largest adolescent orlistat trial (362), 21% of orlistat-treated adolescents decreased their body weight by ≥5% at 12 weeks and went on to decrease body weight by 7.8% after 1 year of treatment; however, those who lost <5% at 12 weeks had a 2.3% weight gain after 1 year (362). Thus, clinicians should discontinue pharmacotherapy agents when sufficient weight loss is not observed after 12 weeks.

**Values and preferences**

We placed a higher value on avoiding drug side effects and on achieving healthy weight through the incorporation of healthy behaviors. The suggestion to minimize the use of pharmacotherapy in children and adolescents reflects the limited efficacy and small number of long-term pediatric trials for existing agents, along with the imperative to manage pediatric obesity as a serious chronic condition in which long-term success overrides short-term gains.

**Remarks**

Drug efficacy is based only on reductions of BMI or BMI z scores. Antiobesity drugs may have differential effects on obesity-associated comorbidities based on their mechanisms of action. For example, certain medications (e.g., metformin) have more potent effects on glucose tolerance. Clinicians should tailor drug selection to the individual patient and pay strong attention to the patient’s concomitant medications, medical conditions, and family history, as well as each medication’s efficacy and adverse event profile. The benefits of any drug used to treat pediatric obesity should clearly outweigh its long-term risks. Clinicians should be aware that no obesity medication has been shown to reduce the incidence of cardiovascular morbidity or mortality (267).

The recommendation to discontinue medication when it appears relatively ineffective after 12 weeks of use is consistent with adult obesity pharmacotherapy labeling. The FDA label for liraglutide recommends discontinuation when adults have <4% weight reduction. Most drugs should be discontinued if a 5% decrease in BMI/BMI z score does not occur.

Although pediatricians prescribe many medications “off-label”, we think pharmacotherapeutic agents not yet approved for the treatment of pediatric obesity should be restricted to large, well-controlled clinical studies.

**Bariatric surgery**

4.11 We suggest bariatric surgery only under the following conditions:

- the patient has attained Tanner 4 or 5 pubertal development and final or near-final adult height, the patient has a BMI of >40 kg/m² or has a BMI of >35 kg/m² and significant, extreme comorbidities
- extreme obesity and comorbidities persist despite compliance with a formal program of lifestyle modification, with or without pharmacotherapy
- psychological evaluation confirms the stability and competence of the family unit, psychological distress due to impaired QOL from obesity may be present, but the patient does not have an underlying untreated psychiatric illness
- the patient demonstrates the ability to adhere to the principles of healthy dietary and activity habits
- patient has access to an experienced surgeon in a pediatric bariatric surgery center of excellence providing the necessary infrastructure for patient care, including a team capable of long-term follow-up of the metabolic and psychosocial needs of the patient and family.

(2+0+0)

4.12 We suggest against bariatric surgery in preadolescent children; pregnant or breast-feeding adolescents (and those planning to become pregnant within 2 years of surgery); and in any patient who has not mastered the principles of healthy dietary and activity habits and/or has an unresolved substance abuse, eating disorder, or untreated psychiatric disorder. (2+0+0)

**Evidence**

Clinicians prescribe bariatric procedures for weight loss in adolescents because of the poor success of non-surgical treatment in achieving and maintaining weight loss in adolescents with extreme obesity.

Indications for weight loss surgery include BMI of >35 kg/m² with major comorbidities of obesity (T2DM, moderate to extreme sleep apnea, pseudotumor cerebri, debilitating orthopedic problems, and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with advanced fibrosis). Patients are also candidates for bariatric surgery if they have a BMI of >40 kg/m² with mild comorbidities (hypertension, dyslipidemia, moderate orthopedic problems, mild sleep apnea, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, and extreme psychological distress that is secondary to their obesity) (363).

Because of the beneficial effects on QOL, social relationships, and depression in studies of adolescents (364–367), some as long as 2 to 3 years in duration (368, 369), proponents of bariatric surgery suggest that extreme psychological distress is an indication for
### Table 5. Medications Studied for the Long-Term Treatment of Obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Common Side Effects</th>
<th>Monitoring and Contraindications</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Centrally acting anorexigenic agents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phentermine,</td>
<td>Approved only for short-term use in adults</td>
<td>Insomnia, elevation in heart rate, dry mouth, taste alterations, dizziness, tremors, headache, diarrhea, constipation, vomiting, gastrointestinal distress, anxiety, restlessness</td>
<td>Monitor HR, BP. These medications are contraindicated in uncontrolled hypertension, hyperthyroidism, glaucoma, agitated states, history of drug abuse, and MAOIs; use caution when prescribing to patients with even mild hypertension</td>
<td>Rauh and Lipp, 1968 (276); Lorber, 1966 (277); von Spranger, 1965 (278); Andelman et al., 1967 (279); Golebiowska et al., 1981 (280); Komorowski, 1982 (281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diethylpropion,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and mazindol&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not FDA approved for obesity. Approved for binge eating disorder in adults and for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in patients 6 y of age and older</td>
<td>Dry mouth, sleeplessness (insomnia), increased heart rate, jittery feelings, constipation, anxiety</td>
<td>This medication is contraindicated with MAOIs. There is a risk for sudden death in people who have heart problems or heart defects, and stroke and heart attack in adults. Monitor blood pressure and heart rate. May produce psychotic or manic symptoms, such as hallucinations, delusional thinking, or mania. May worsen peripheral vasculopathy, including Raynaud phenomenon</td>
<td>McElroy et al., 2015 (282); McElroy et al., 2015 (283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibutramine</td>
<td>Withdrawn in the US (increased risk of serious cardiovascular events). Still available in some countries such as Brazil</td>
<td>Tachycardia, hypertension, palpitations, insomnia, anxiety, nervousness, depression, diaphoresis</td>
<td>Monitor HR, BP. Do not use with other drugs, MAOIs</td>
<td>Berkowitz et al., 2003 (275); Godoy-Matos et al., 2005 (284); Berkowitz et al., 2006 (285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorcanerin&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Approved for long-term use in adults</td>
<td>Headache, dizziness, fatigue, nausea, dry mouth, cough, and constipation; back pain, cough; hypoglycemia in patients with T2DM</td>
<td>There is a risk for serotonin syndrome or neuroleptic malignant syndrome-like reactions. Evaluate patients for signs or symptoms of valvular heart disease. Euphoria, hallucination, and dissociation have been seen with supratherapeutic doses. Interactions with triptans, MAOIs, including linezolid, SSRIs, SNRIs, dextromethorphan, tricyclic antidepressants, bupropion, lithium, tramadol, tryptophan, and St. John’s wort</td>
<td>Smith et al., 2010 (286); Fidler et al., 2011 (287)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Indicates that the medication is marketed only in some countries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Common Side Effects</th>
<th>Monitoring and Contraindications</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liraglutide&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Approved for long-term use in adults</td>
<td>Nausea, diarrhea, constipation, vomiting, headache, decreased appetite, dyspepsia, fatigue, dizziness, abdominal pain, increased lipase</td>
<td>Monitor heart rate at regular intervals. This medication is contraindicated in patients with a history of medullary thyroid carcinoma or in patients with multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome type 2. Discontinue promptly if pancreatitis is suspected</td>
<td>Zinman et al., 2009 (288); Wadden et al., 2013 (289); Astrup et al., 2009 (290)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phentermine plus topiramate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Approved for long-term use in adults</td>
<td>Paresthesias, dizziness, taste alterations, insomnia, constipation, dry mouth, elevation in heart rate, memory or cognitive changes</td>
<td>This medication is contraindicated in glaucoma, hyperthyroidism, MAOIs. Concerns about teratogenicity (increased risk of oral clefts) mandate effective contraceptive use and pregnancy test monitoring in females. Metabolic acidosis, hypokalemia, and elevated creatinine have been reported, and periodic monitoring is advised. Abrupt withdrawal of topiramate may cause seizures</td>
<td>Garvey et al., 2012 (291); Allison et al., 2011 (292)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion plus naltrexone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Approved for long-term use in adults</td>
<td>Nausea, constipation, headache, vomiting, dizziness, insomnia, dry mouth, diarrhea</td>
<td>Monitor HR, BP. Do not administer to patients with a history of seizure disorders or with anorexia or bulimia nervosa or to patients who are using opioids or abruptly discontinuing use of alcohol, benzodiazepines, barbiturates, or antiseizure medications. There is potential increased risk of suicidality</td>
<td>Greenway et al., 2010 (293); Padwal, 2009 (294)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs in development or used off-label that may act centrally as anorexigenic medications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant human leptin, metreleptin&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This drug is under investigation. In monotherapy it was successful for treating leptin deficiency</td>
<td>Headache, abdominal pain</td>
<td>This drug is useful only in leptin deficiency. Antibodies with neutralizing activity have been identified in patients treated with metreleptin. T cell lymphoma has been reported in patients with acquired generalized lipodystrophy. A risk evaluation and mitigation strategy should be in place to prevent inappropriate prescription</td>
<td>Farooqi et al., 2002 (105); Farooqi et al., 1999 (295)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Common Side Effects</th>
<th>Monitoring and Contraindications</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exenatide</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not FDA approved for obesity</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, feeling jittery, dizziness, headache, dyspepsia</td>
<td>Acute pancreatitis, including fatal and nonfatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis, has been reported. Observe patients carefully for signs and symptoms of pancreatitis. Discontinue promptly if pancreatitis is suspected. Contraindicated in patients with severe renal impairment</td>
<td>Rosenstock et al., 2010 (296); Kelly et al., 2013 (297); Kelly et al., 2012 (298)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs affecting nutrient trafficking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orlistat</strong></td>
<td>This drug is FDA approved for treatment of obesity in adolescents ≥12 y old</td>
<td>Oily spotting, flatus with discharge, fecal urgency, fatty/oily stool, increased defecation, fecal incontinence</td>
<td>This drug is contraindicated in chronic malabsorption syndromes and cholestasis. Cholelithiasis and, rarely, severe liver injury, including hepatocellular necrosis and acute hepatic failure leading to death, have been reported. It decreases drug concentrations of cyclosporine and levothyroxine. Doses should be temporally separated from orlistat. Use with caution in those at risk for renal insufficiency. MVI supplementation is strongly recommended. A low- dose preparation is approved for over-the-counter sale</td>
<td>McDuffie et al., 2002 (299); Zhi et al., 2003 (300); Norgren et al., 2003 (301); Ozkan et al., 2004 (302); McDuffie et al., 2004 (303); Chanoine et al., 2005 (304); Maahs et al., 2006 (305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs affecting internal milieu/metabolic control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metformin</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This drug is not FDA approved for obesity. It is approved for ≥10 y of age for T2DM</td>
<td>Nausea, flatulence, bloating, diarrhea; usually resolves</td>
<td>Do not use in renal failure or with i.v. contrast. MVI supplementation is strongly recommended. Potential risk for vitamin B12 deficiency when used long-term. Avoid alcohol intake</td>
<td>Freemark and Bursey, 2001 (306); Atabeck and Pirgon, 2008 (307); Love-Osborne et al., 2008 (308); Wilson et al., 2010 (309); Yanovski et al., 2011 (310); Kendall et al., 2013 (311)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Octreotide (for hypothalamic obesity)</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This drug is not FDA approved for obesity</td>
<td>Cholelithiasis (can be prevented by concurrent ursodiol), diarrhea, edema, abdominal cramps, nausea, bloating, reduction in T4 concentrations, decreased GH but normal IGF-I</td>
<td>Monitor fasting glucose, FT4, HbA1c. Useful only for hypothalamic obesity. Ursodiol coadministration is strongly recommended</td>
<td>Gambineri et al., 2005 (312); Haq et al., 2003 (313); Lustig et al., 2001 (314); Lustig et al., 1999 (315); Lustig et al., 2006 (316)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A psychologist must assess the bariatric surgery candidate to determine the severity of psychological impairment as well as ability to comply with the requirements for successful outcome. It is essential that all potential candidates have a stable home environment with good family support and the ability to carry out the necessary postoperative behaviors—adherence to dietary guidelines (including macronutrient administration) and physical activity recommendations. Adolescents who are unable to give assent; who have untreated or unstable psychiatric issues other than depression; who are substance abusers; or who are pregnant, planning pregnancy, or breastfeeding are not good candidates for bariatric surgery (370). All candidates for bariatric surgery should agree to psychological evaluation before surgery and in the perioperative period (371).

Surgery can be malabsorptive, restrictive, or combination procedures. Laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) (83) is a purely restrictive procedure that isolates the upper stomach by placing an adjustable silicone ring around the entrance to the stomach [Fig. 3(A)] (223). The LAGB procedure has high reoperation and long-term complication rates, which increase with time and thus it is rarely used anymore (373–375).

Malabsorptive procedures decrease intestinal mucosal function by rearranging the anatomy of the intestine, resulting in malabsorption of nutrients. RYGB is a combination procedure in which the surgeon creates a small stomach pouch and the remainder of the stomach is bypassed. The surgeon inserts a segment of the jejunum in the small gastric pouch, which connects to the proximal portion of the jejunum that drains the bypassed portion of the stomach and the duodenum [Fig. 3(C)]. The RYGB has the restrictive properties of a partial gastrectomy while causing malabsorption and “dumping syndrome” by bypassing much of the stomach.

In vertical sleeve gastrectomy (VSG), a surgeon resects ~85% of the stomach, removing the fundus and greater curvature, leaving a narrow gastric remnant [Fig. 3(F)]. There is no rearrangement of the anatomy, making it less likely that patients having VSG will have malabsorption of micronutrients or postoperative bowel obstruction, as compared with RYGB (370). Because VSG has less surgical complications than the RYGB, patients use it with increasing frequency (373, 376). The Teen Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery (Teen-LABS) study (a prospective, multisite observational study at 5 academic centers) performed 52 RYGB and 29 VSG in 2008 vs 24 RYGB and 29 VSG in 2011 (377).

In addition to the anatomic effects of the procedures, both RYGB and VSG decrease the orexigenic hormone ghrelin (87, 378, 379) and increase the anorexigenic incretins glucagon-like peptide 1 and peptide YY (380, 381), thus decreasing appetite and improving insulin sensitivity (382).
Adolescents having VSG performed between 2008 and 2011 had 61.3% excess weight loss at 1 year (n = 41) and 62.3% excess weight loss at 2 years (n = 8) (383). The largest pediatric study to date found a similar BMI reduction with VSG (37%) (384) as with RYGB (35% to 37%) (385) at 1 year following surgery. This is consistent with the results of the Adolescent Morbid Obesity Study (376), a Swedish study of 81 adolescents with a mean BMI decrease from 45.5 to 29.7 kg/m² 2 years following RYGB (367). Three-year data from the Teen-LABS study found that the mean BMI decreased overall from 53 to 38 kg/m² (a decrease of 27%) with a 28% BMI decrease in those receiving RYGB (n = 161) and a 26% decrease in the teens who had VSG (n = 67) (369).

Regardless of procedure, the percentage of weight loss is independent of initial BMI, so those who are extremely obese will still be obese following surgery (385). Even when obesity persists, most comorbidities associated with obesity improve markedly following the surgery. A study of 22 adolescents who were extremely obese showed positive effects of RYGB on glucose homeostasis parameters with 38% decline in BMI (61 to 39 kg/m²) 1 year after surgery (386). Positive effects of bariatric surgery in glucose homeostasis in nondiabetics (379), improved insulin sensitivity and secretion (388), resolution of sleep apnea (389), improvements in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (381), improvements in severe arthropathy (371), and improvements in cardiovascular risk factors [dyslipidemia, hypertension, and inflammation (390) and increased adiponectin and decreased IL-1, IL-8, CRP, and TNF-α (391)], as well as decreased left ventricular mass index, improvements in left ventricular hypertrophy, improvements in diastolic function, and improved rate-pressure product, all of which suggest decreased cardiac workload (392).

The Teen-LABS study indicated that 39% of enrolled patients had more than 4 major comorbid conditions at baseline (376). Three-year follow-up of the patients enrolled in Teen-LABS found a 95% remission of T2DM (19 of 20 teens who had diabetes at the time of surgery), 76% remission of prediabetes (13 of 17 patients), 74% remission of hypertension (56 of 76 with initial high blood pressure), and a 66% normalization of dyslipidemia (84 of 128 patients) (369).

The Teen-LABS study assessed comorbid conditions and surgical complications in the perioperative period in 242 adolescents during the first month following surgery.
There were no procedure-related deaths. Sixty-six percent had laparoscopic RYGB, 28% had VSG, and 6% had LAGB. Major complications occurring within the 30 days following surgery (gastrointestinal leaks, suicidal ideation, anticoagulation for pulmonary embolus) occurred in 7 patients (0.4%), and minor complications occurred in 27 patients (11.2%; 2.5% of patients undergoing RYGB, 3.0% VSG, and 7.1% AGB) (377). The most common complications with both RYGB and VSG were abdominal pain/diarrhea/nausea/dehydration followed by stricture with RYGB and wound infection with VSG (373). Nineteen of 242 patients had major complications within 30 days of surgery (9.3% with RYGB, 4.5% following VSG, and 7.1% with AGB). Late complications occurred in 10% to 15% of patients and included hernias at incision sites, cholelithiasis, small bowel obstruction, stomal stenosis, protein calorie malnutrition, vitamin and mineral deficiencies, and weight regain (376, 377, 393, 394). The Adolescent Morbid Obesity Surgery Study in Sweden had a 33% adverse event rate, with 15% (n = 12) requiring reoperation, 5 for internal hernias, 5 for cholecystectomy, 1 for adhesions, and 1 for pain without surgical findings (364). Seven percent had psychological sequelae, 2 with suicide attempts from medication overdose, 1 with self-destructive behavior and suicidal ideation, and 3 with depression and anxiety. All had psychological problems before surgery. Five patients had excessive use of addictive drugs (none of these patients disclosed the fact that they had preexisting addictions at the time of presurgery assessment) (367). Although most patients have improvement in QOL, self-esteem, anxiety, and depressive symptoms (365), these improvements were not universally maintained at 2 years following surgery (368). Suicidal ideation has been reported, possibly related to unrealistic expectations that their life would be completely different following surgery or to continued poor self-image with weight regain (367). The most recent Teen-LABS study, evaluating 242 adolescents at 3 years after RYGB or VSG, found the mean QOL improved from 63 to 83 based on the total score from the Impact of Weight on Quality of Life—Kids survey (369).

As these procedures all have potential adverse events, it is important to have life-long monitoring for complications. Adherence to prescribed nutritional guidelines is essential for all weight-loss surgery patients postoperatively because low levels of minerals and vitamins can occur due to restricted nutrient intake, decreased gastric acid production, decreased production of intrinsic factor and digestive enzymes, or food intolerance (especially following the dumping syndrome with RYGB) (121, 395). Iron deficiency is the most common mineral deficiency, as RYGB not only causes malabsorption but also has low gastric acid production, further impairing iron absorption (121, 370). Decreased bone mineralization is common, as RYGB decreases cholecalciferol absorption by 25% and calcium and phosphorous concentrations may be low, resulting in significant bone density loss (396). Vitamin deficiencies are common, including deficiencies of vitamins B12, B1, and folate, as RYGB and VSG both reduce the surface of the distal portion of the stomach, resulting in inadequate secretion of intrinsic factor. Annual screening is recommended for patients at risk for developing vitamin deficiencies. As RYGB can result in copper, selenium, and zinc deficiencies, it is recommended that all patients having bariatric surgery receive supplementation with a multivitamin with minerals (370). Patients need to be monitored long term for changes in bone density, hair loss secondary to zinc deficiency, and neurologic complications (363). It is recommended that they avoid alcohol and decrease the intake of sugar and fructose-containing drinks. Despite the importance of nutritional supplementation following bariatric surgery, the Adolescent Morbid Obesity Surgery study found a 67% noncompliance rate with prescribed vitamin and mineral intake at 2 years following surgery. Low ferritin levels were found in 12% of patients before surgery and in 39% of patients 2 years after surgery. Similarly, Vitamin B12 deficiency increased from 1.3% before surgery to 13% after surgery (367). The 3-year follow-up data from the Teen-LABS study found similar results. Low folate levels were found in 3% of youths at baseline and in 8% at 3 years, low vitamin B12 concentrations increased from <1% to 8%, low 25 hydroxyvitamin D levels increased from 37% to 43%, and the percentage of adolescents with low ferritin levels increased from 5% at baseline to 57% at 3 years (369).

These data emphasize the need for a multidisciplinary team that should include a bariatric surgeon, a pediatric obesity specialist to screen and manage the comorbidities, a dietician to plan the diet and assure adequate nutritional intake, a mental health professional to perform the initial psychological assessment and provide counseling during the postoperative adjustment, a program coordinator to facilitate compliance and follow-up, and a social worker to provide resources to help overcome barriers to care and run support groups (373). Long-term follow-up is essential to maintain compliance with nutritional recommendations.

We agree with the expert panels (226, 227) that suggest bariatric surgery for adolescents with obesity-related comorbid conditions that threaten the adolescent’s health—a BMI of >35 kg/m² and an extreme comorbidity or a BMI of >40 kg/m² and less extreme comorbidity.
Remarks
As adolescents appear to have a greater rate of diabetes resolution and improvement in other obesity-related comorbidities than do adults, it may be beneficial to consider earlier surgery in obese teens, as they likely have less vascular damage than do older individuals.

Values and preferences
The Task Force suggestion of bariatric surgery in adolescents who are extremely obese with serious comorbidities places a high value on amelioration of life-threatening complications and lower value on surgical cost and perioperative complications.

Conclusion
Pediatric obesity remains an ongoing serious international health concern affecting ~17% of US children and adolescents, threatening their adult health and longevity. Pediatric obesity has its basis in genetic susceptibilities influenced by a permissive environment starting in utero and extending through childhood and adolescence. Endocrine etiologies for obesity are rare and usually are accompanied by attenuated growth patterns. Pediatric comorbidities are common and long-term health complications often result; screening for comorbidities of obesity should be applied in a hierarchal, logical manner for early identification before more serious complications result. Genetic screening for rare syndromes is indicated only in the presence of specific historical or physical features. The psychological toll of pediatric obesity on the individual and family necessitates screening for mental health issues and counseling as indicated. The prevention of pediatric obesity by promoting healthful diet, activity, and environment should be a primary goal, as achieving effective, long-lasting results with lifestyle modification once obesity occurs is difficult. Although some behavioral and pharmacotherapy studies report modest success, additional research into accessible and effective methods for preventing and treating pediatric obesity is needed. The use of weight loss medications during childhood and adolescence should be restricted to clinical trials. Increasing evidence demonstrates the effectiveness of bariatric surgery in the most seriously affected mature teenagers who have failed lifestyle modification, but it requires experienced teams with resources for long-term follow-up. Adolescents undergoing lifestyle therapy, medication regimens, or bariatric surgery for obesity will need cohesive planning to help them effectively transition to adult care, such as continued necessary monitoring, support, and intervention. Transition programs for obesity are an uncharted area requiring further research for efficacy.

Despite a significant increase in research on pediatric obesity since the initial publication of these guidelines 8 years ago, there remains an unmet need for further study of the genetic and biological factors that increase the risk of weight gain and influence the response to therapeutic interventions. Also needed are more studies to better understand the genetic and biological factors that cause an obese individual to manifest 1 comorbidity vs another or to be free of comorbidities. Continued investigation into the most effective methods of preventing and treating obesity and into methods for changing environmental and economic factors that will lead to worldwide cultural changes in diet and activity should be priorities. Particular attention to determining ways to effect systemic changes in food environs and total daily mobility, as well as methods for sustaining healthy BMI changes, is of importance.

Summary of Changes
Since the publication of the original guidelines 8 years ago there have been an additional 1778 references added to PubMed concerning pediatric obesity. We have incorporated the most relevant data from these to update and enhance the original text.

The epidemiology and definition section contains the latest statistics on trends in childhood obesity, including an apparent recent stabilization of the prevalence. New definitions for extreme obesity are added with a notation that this is the group that continues to rise. The prevalence in ethnic minorities as well a discussion of the limitations of applying the BMI equation to all ethnic groups are addressed.

The evaluation section provides the latest guidelines for utilizing laboratory evaluation for diagnosis and management of comorbidities of obesity. Special emphasis on avoiding endocrine evaluation in most children as well as avoiding measurement of insulin values is provided to prevent unnecessary laboratory testing.

The genetics section has been extensively revised with the latest genomic findings presented in table form and provides guidelines on when to invoke genetic testing in obese children, particularly those with early onset obesity, family history of extreme obesity, and hyperphagia. A combined flowchart demonstrating pathways of diagnosis from history and physical examination to genetic testing is included.

Prevention of obesity is discussed with numerous new studies that support most previous conclusions on lifestyle modification. However, although breast-feeding is beneficial for an infant in numerous ways and was supported as a recommendation to prevent obesity in the
previous guidelines, recent data weaken support for breast-feeding as a means of preventing obesity and breast-feeding is now a suggestion.

The treatment section focuses on lifestyle changes as the basis of all efforts to treat childhood obesity and supports most previous recommendations and suggestions. A chart demonstrating how much change in systolic blood pressure and lipid values might be expected with a decrease in 1 unit of BMI (kg/m²) or a decrease of 1 kg of body weight is added.

A discussion of the significant toll childhood obesity takes on the psychological function of a child follows. Guidelines for evaluation of children and access to tools to evaluate child and family function are provided. Referral to appropriate counseling programs is emphasized when psychological problems or aberrant family dynamics are found.

Although noting that all but one of the pharmacological agents targeting obesity are not approved until 16 years of age, agents and their method of action are presented in detail in a table. Lifestyle modification is emphasized as a basis for any additional pharmacological therapy. Should pharmacological therapy be invoked, even off label, guidelines for use and for discontinuation in the case of lack of efficacy are provided. When pharmacotherapy is considered, only clinicians experienced in the use of the agents should use them.

The increasing information on the benefits and risks of bariatric surgery is presented along with a discussion of the types of procedures that might be used. There is emphasis upon contraindications in the use of bariatric surgery in growing children and immature teenagers. Emphasis that procedures should only be carried out in those mature pubertal individuals with severe comorbidities of obesity in the presence of a motivated and compliant patient and family and only in the hands of an experienced surgeon with a dedicated and experienced support team is provided.

The last section sets new goals for future research into the thorny questions of the best method to determine the etiology of childhood obesity and methods to prevent and treat childhood obesity and its comorbidities.
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